arXiv:0802.2352v1 [math.AP] 16 Feb 2008

# TRACE IDEALS FOR FOURIER INTEGRAL OPERATORS WITH NON-SMOOTH SYMBOLS III

JOACHIM TOFT, FRANCESCO CONCETTI, AND GIANLUCA GARELLO

Abstract. We consider Fourier integral operators with symbols in modulation spaces and non-smooth phase functions whose second orders of derivatives belong to certain types of modulation space. We establish continuity and Schatten-von Neumann properties of such operators when acting on modulation spaces.

## 0. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to investigate Fourier integral operators with non-smooth amplitudes (or symbols), when acting on (general, or weighted) modulation spaces. The amplitudes are assumed to belong to appropriate modulation spaces, or more generally, appropriate coorbit spaces of modulation space type. Since these coorbit spaces contains spaces of smooth functions which belongs to certain mixed Lebesgue spaces, together with all their derivatives, it follows that we are able to obtain results for certain Fourier integral operator with smooth symbols. It is assumed that the phase functions are continuous functions with second orders of derivatives belonging to appropriate modulation spaces (i. e. weighted "Sjöstrand classes") and satisfying appropriate non-degeneracy conditions. For such Fourier integral operators we investigate continuity and compactness properties when acting on modulation spaces. Especially we are concerned with detailed compactness investigations of such operators in background of Schatten-von Neumann theory, when acting on Hilbert modulation spaces. (Here we recall that the spaces of trace-class or Hilbert-Schmidt operators are particular classes of Schatten-von Neumann classes.) More precisely, we establish sufficient conditions on the amplitudes and phase functions in order for the corresponding Fourier integral operators to be Schatten-von Neumann of certain degree. Since Sobolev spaces of Hilbert type are special cases of these Hilbert modulation spaces, it follows that our results can be applied on certain problems involving such spaces.

Furthermore, by letting the involved weight functions be trivially equal to one, these Sobolev spaces are equal to $L^2$, and our results here then generalize those in [8, 9], where similar questions are discussed when the amplitudes and second order of derivatives belong to classical or non-weighted modulation spaces.

On the other hand, the framework of the investigations in the present paper as well as in [8, 9] is to follow some ideas by A. Boulkhemair in [6] and localize the Fourier integral operators in terms of short-time Fourier transforms, and then making appropriate Taylor expansions and estimates. In fact, in [6], Boulkhemair considers a certain class of Fourier integral operators were the corresponding symbols are defined without any explicit regularity assumptions and with only small regularity assumptions on the phase functions. The symbol class here is, in the present paper, denoted by $M^{\infty,1}$, is sometimes called the "Sjöstrand class", and contains $S^0_{0,0}$, the set of smooth functions which are bounded together with all their derivatives. In time-frequency community, $M^{p,q}$ is known as a (classical or non-weighted) modulation space with exponents $p \in [1,\infty]$ and $q \in [1,\infty]$. (See

e. g. [14, 16, 21] or below for strict definition.) Boulkhemair then proves that such operators are uniquely extendable to continuous operators on $L^2$. In particular it follows that pseudo-differential operators with symbols in $M^{\infty,1}$ are $L^2$-continuous, which was proved by J. Sjöstrand in [32], where it seems that $M^{\infty,1}$ was used for the first time in this context.

Boulkhemair's result was extended in [8, 9], where it is proved that if the amplitude belongs to the classical modulation space $M^{p,1}$, then the corresponding Fourier integral operator is Schatten-von Neumann operator of order $p \in [1,\infty]$ on $L^2$. In [9] it is also proved that if the amplitude only depends on the phase space variables and belongs to $M^{p,p}$, then the corresponding Fourier integral operator is continuous from $M^{p',p'}$ to $M^{p,p}$. If in addition $1 \le p \le 2$, then it is also proved that the operator is Schatten-von Neumann of order $p$ on $L^2$.

We remark that the assumptions on the phase functions imply that these functions are two times continuously differentiable, which is usually violated for "classical" Fourier integral operators (see e. g. [23, 28–30]). For example, this condition is not fulfilled in general when the phase function is homogenous of degree one in the frequency variable. We refer to [28–30] for recent contribution to the theory of Fourier integral operators with non-smooth symbols, and in certain domains small regularity assumptions of the phase functions.

In order to be more specific we recall some definitions. Assume that $p, q \in [1,\infty]$ and that $\omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ (see Section 1 for the definition of $\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^n)$). Then the *modulation space* $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is the set of all $f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}} \equiv \Big( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \Big( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\mathscr{F}(f\tau_x\chi)(\xi)\omega(x,\xi)|^p \, dx \Big)^{q/p} d\xi \Big)^{1/q} < \infty \tag{0.1}$$

(with obvious modification when $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$). Here $\tau_x$ is the translation operator $\tau_x\chi(y) = \chi(y-x)$, $\mathscr{F}$ is the Fourier transform on $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ which is given by

$$\mathscr{F}f(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \equiv (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x)e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle} \, dx$$

when $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and $\chi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus 0$ is called a *window function* which is kept fixed. For conveniency we set $M^{p,q} = M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}$ when $\omega = 1$.

Modulation spaces were introduced by H. Feichtinger in [14]. The basic theory of such spaces were thereafter extended by Feichtinger and Gröchenig in [16, 17], where the coorbit space theory was established. Here we note that the amplitude classes in the present paper consist of coorbit spaces, defined in such way that their norms are given by (0.1), after replacing the $L^p$ and $L^q$ norms by *mixed* Lebesgue norms and interchanging the order of integration. (See Subsection 1.2 and Section 2.) During the last twenty years, modulation spaces have been an active fields of research (see e. g. [14, 15, 21, 26, 36, 39]). They are rather similar to Besov spaces (see [2, 34, 39] for sharp embeddings) and it has appeared that they are useful to have in background in time-frequency analysis and to some extent also in pseudo-differential calculus.

Next we discuss the definition of Fourier integral operators. For conveniency we restricts ourself to operators which belong to $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1}), \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{n_2}))$. Here we let $\mathscr{L}(V_1, V_2)$ denote the set of all linear and continuous operators from $V_1$ to $V_2$, when $V_1$ and $V_2$ are topological vector spaces. For any appropriate $a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ (the *symbol* or *amplitude*) for $N = n_1 + n_2$, and real-valued $\varphi \in C(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ (the *phase function*), the Fourier integral operator $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ is defined by the formula

$$\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)f(x) = (2\pi)^{-N/2} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{n_1+m}} a(x,y,\xi)f(y)e^{i\varphi(x,y,\xi)} \, dyd\xi, \tag{0.2}$$

when $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1})$. Here the integrals should be interpreted in distribution sense if necessary. By letting $m = n_1 = n_2 = n$, and choosing symbols and phase functions in appropriate ways, it follows that the pseudo-differential operator

$$\operatorname{Op}(a)f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^n} a(x,y,\xi)f(y)e^{i\langle x-y,\xi\rangle}\, dyd\xi$$

is a special case of Fourier integral operators. Furthermore, if $t \in \mathbf{R}$ is fixed, and $a$ is an appropriate function or distribution on $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ instead of $\mathbf{R}^{3n}$, then the definition of the latter pseudo-differential operators cover the definition of pseudo-differential operators of the form

$$a_t(x,D)f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} a((1-t)x+ty,\xi)f(y)e^{i\langle x-y,\xi\rangle}\, dyd\xi. \tag{0.3}$$

On the other hand, in the framework of harmonic analysis it follows that the map $a \mapsto a_t(x,D)$ from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ to $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n),\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n))$ is uniquely extendable to a bijection from $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ to $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n),\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n))$.

In the litterature it is usually assumed that $a$ and $\varphi$ in (0.2) are smooth functions. For example, if $n_1 = n_2 = n$, $a \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n+m})$ and $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2n+m})$ satisfy $\varphi^{(\alpha)} \in S^0_{0,0}(\mathbf{R}^{2n+m})$ when $|\alpha| = N_1$ for some integer $N_1 \ge 0$, then it is easily seen that $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ is continuous on $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and extends to a continuous map from $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. In [1] it is proved that if $\varphi^{(\alpha)} \in S^0_{0,0}(\mathbf{R}^{2n+m})$ for all multli-indices $\alpha$ such that $|\alpha| = 2$ and satisfies

$$\left|\det\begin{pmatrix} \varphi''_{x,y} & \varphi''_{x,\xi} \\ \varphi''_{y,\xi} & \varphi''_{\xi,\xi}\end{pmatrix}\right| \ge \mathsf{d} \tag{0.4}$$

for some $\mathsf{d} > 0$, then the definition of $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi$ extends uniquely to any $a \in S^0_{0,0}(\mathbf{R}^{2n+m})$, and then $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ is continuous on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Next assume that $\varphi$ instead satisfies $\varphi^{(\alpha)} \in M^{\infty,1}(\mathbf{R}^{3n})$ for all multi-indices $\alpha$ such that $|\alpha| = 2$ and that (0.4) holds for some $\mathsf{d} > 0$. This implies that the condition on $\varphi$ is relaxed since $S^0_{0,0} \subseteq M^{\infty,1}$. Then Boulkhemair improves the result in [1] by proving that the definition of $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi$ extends uniquely to any $a \in M^{\infty,1}(\mathbf{R}^{2n+m})$, and that $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ is still continuous on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

In Section 2 we discuss continuity and Schatten-von Neumann properties for Fourier integral operators which are related to those which were considered by Boulkhemair. More precisely, assume that $\omega$, $\omega_j$ for $j = 1,2$ and $v$ are appropriate weight functions, $\varphi \in M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Then we prove in Subection 2.4 that the definition of $a \mapsto \operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ from $\mathscr{S}$ to $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n),\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ extends uniquely to any $a \in M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}$, and that $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ is continuous from $M^p_{(\omega_1)}$ to $M^p_{(\omega_2)}$. In particular we recover Boulkhemair's result by letting $\omega = \omega_j = v = 1$ and $p = 2$.

In Subsection 2.5 we connsider more general Fourier integral operators, where we assume that the amplitudes belong to coorbit spaces which, roughly speaking, are like $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}$ for $p,q \in [1,\infty]$ in certain variables and like $M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}$ in the other variables. (Note here that $M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}$ is contained in $M^{\infty,q}_{(\omega)}$ in view of Proposition 1.1.) If $q \le p$, then we prove that such Fourier integral operators are continuous from $M^{p',p'}_{(\omega_1)}$ to $M^{p,p}_{(\omega_2)}$. Furthermore, by interpolation between the latter result and our extension of Boulkhemair's result we prove that if $q \le \min(p,p')$, then these Fourier integral operators belong to $\mathscr{I}_p(M^{2,2}_{(\omega_1)}, M^{2,2}_{(\omega_2)})$. Here $\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)$ denotes the set of Schatten-von Neumann operators from the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_1$ to $\mathscr{H}_2$ of order $p$. This means that $T \in \mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)$ if and only if $T$ linear and continuous operators

$T$ from $\mathscr{H}_1$ to $\mathscr{H}_2$ which satisfy

$$\|T\|_{\mathscr{I}_p} \equiv \sup \Big( \sum |(Tf_j, g_j)_{\mathscr{H}_2}|^p \Big)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where the supremum should be taken over all orthonormal sequences $(f_j)$ in $\mathscr{H}_1$ and $(g_j)$ in $\mathscr{H}_2$.

In Section 3 we list some consequences of our general results in Section 2. For example, assume that $p, q \in [1, \infty]$, $a(x, y, \xi) = b(x, \xi)$, for some $b \in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, and that

$$|\det(\varphi''_{y,\xi})| \ge \mathsf{d} \tag{0.5}$$

holds for some constant $\mathsf{d} > 0$. Then it follows from the results in Section 2 that if $q = p$, then $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ is continuous from $M^{p',p'}_{(\omega_1)}$ to $M^{p,p}_{(\omega_2)}$. Furthermore, if in addition (0.4) and $q \le \min(p, p')$ hold, then $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a) \in \mathscr{I}_p$.

## 1. Preliminaries

In this section we discuss basic properties for modulation spaces. The proofs are in many cases omitted since they can be found in [12–18, 21, 37–39].

We start by discussing some notations. The duality between a topological vector space and its dual is denoted by $\langle \,\cdot\, , \,\cdot\, \rangle$. For admissible $a$ and $b$ in $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we set $(a, b) = \langle a, \overline{b} \rangle$, and it is obvious that $(\,\cdot\, , \,\cdot\,)$ on $L^2$ is the usual scalar product.

Assume that $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$ are topological spaces. Then $\mathscr{B}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathscr{B}_2$ means that $\mathscr{B}_1$ is continuously embedded in $\mathscr{B}_2$. In the case that $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$ are Banach spaces, $\mathscr{B}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathscr{B}_2$ is equivalent to $\mathscr{B}_1 \subseteq \mathscr{B}_2$ and $\|x\|_{\mathscr{B}_2} \le C\|x\|_{\mathscr{B}_1}$, for some constant $C > 0$ which is independent of $x \in \mathscr{B}_1$.

Let $\omega, v \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be positive functions. Then $\omega$ is called *$v$-moderate* if

$$\omega(x + y) \le C\omega(x)v(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n, \tag{1.1}$$

for some constant $C > 0$, and if $v$ in (1.1) can be chosen as a polynomial, then $\omega$ is called polynomially moderated. Furthermore, $v$ is called *submultiplicative* if (1.1) holds for $\omega = v$. We denote by $\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ the set of all polynomially moderated functions on $\mathbf{R}^n$.

### 1.1. Modulation spaces.

Next we recall some properties on modulation spaces. We remark that the definition of modulation spaces $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, given in (0.1) for $p, q \in [1, \infty]$, is independent of the choice of the window $\chi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus 0$. Moreover different choices of $\chi$ give rise to equivalent norms. (See Proposition 1.1 below).

For conveniency we set $M^p_{(\omega)} = M^{p,p}_{(\omega)}$. Furthermore we set $M^{p,q} = M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}$ if $\omega \equiv 1$.

The proof of the following proposition is omitted, since the results can be found in [12–18, 21, 37–39]. Here and in what follows, $p' \in [1, \infty]$ denotes the conjugate exponent of $p \in [1, \infty]$, i.e. $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ should be fulfilled.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Assume that $p, q, p_j, q_j \in [1, \infty]$ for $j = 1, 2$, and $\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2, v \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ are such that $\omega$ is $v$-moderate and $\omega_2 \le C\omega_1$ for some constant $C > 0$. Then the following are true:*

1. *if $\chi \in M^1_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus 0$, then $f \in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if and only if* (0.1) *holds, i. e. $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is independent of the choice of $\chi$. Moreover, $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}$ is a Banach space under the norm in* (0.1)*, and different choices of $\chi$ give rise to equivalent norms;*
2. *if $p_1 \le p_2$ and $q_1 \le q_2$ then*

$$\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M^{p_2,q_2}_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n);$$

(3) *the* $L^2$ *product* $(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$ *on* $\mathscr{S}$ *extends to a continuous map from* $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)\times M^{p',q'}_{(1/\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *to* $\mathbf{C}$. *On the other hand, if* $\|a\| = \sup|(a,b)|$, *where the supremum is taken over all* $b\in\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *such that* $\|b\|_{M^{p',q'}_{(1/\omega)}}\le 1$, *then* $\|\cdot\|$ *and* $\|\cdot\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}$ *are equivalent norms;*

(4) *if* $p,q<\infty$, *then* $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *is dense in* $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. *The dual space of* $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *can be identified with* $M^{p',q'}_{(1/\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, *through the form* $(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)_{L^2}$. *Moreover,* $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *is weakly dense in* $M^{\infty}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Proposition 1.1 (1) allows us be rather vague concerning the choice of $\chi\in M^1_{(v)}\setminus 0$ in (0.1). For example, if $C>0$ is a constant and $\mathscr{A}$ is a subset of $\mathscr{S}'$, then $\|a\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}\le C$ for every $a\in\mathscr{A}$, means that the inequality holds for some choice of $\chi\in M^1_{(v)}\setminus 0$ and every $a\in\mathscr{A}$. Evidently, a similar inequality is true for any other choice of $\chi\in M^1_{(v)}\setminus 0$, with a suitable constant, larger than $C$ if necessary.

It is also convenient to let $\mathcal{M}^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the completion of $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{p,q}_{(\omega)}\subseteq M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}$ with equality if and only if $p<\infty$ and $q<\infty$. It follows that most of the properties which are valid for $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, also hold for $\mathcal{M}^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

We also need to use multiplication properties of modulation spaces. The proof of the following proposition is omitted since the result can be found in [14,16,38,39].

**Proposition 1.2.** *Assume that* $p,p_j,q_j\in[1,\infty]$ *and* $\omega_j,v\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ *for* $j=0,\dots,N$ *satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{p_1}+\cdots+\frac{1}{p_N}=\frac{1}{p_0},\qquad \frac{1}{q_1}+\cdots+\frac{1}{q_N}=N-1+\frac{1}{q_0},$$

*and*

$$\omega_0(x,\xi_1+\cdots+\xi_N)\le C\omega_1(x,\xi_1)\cdots\omega_N(x,\xi_N),\quad x,\xi_1,\dots\xi_N\in\mathbf{R}^n,$$

*for some constant* $C$. *Then* $(f_1,\dots,f_N)\mapsto f_1\cdots f_N$ *from* $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)\times\cdots\times\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *to* $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *extends uniquely to a continuous map from* $M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^n)\times\cdots\times M^{p_N,q_N}_{(\omega_N)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *to* $M^{p_0,q_0}_{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, *and*

$$\|f_1\cdots f_N\|_{M^{p_0,q_0}_{(\omega_0)}}\le C\|f_1\|_{M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}}\cdots\|f_N\|_{M^{p_N,q_N}_{(\omega_N)}}$$

*for some constant* $C$ *which is independent of* $f_j\in M^{p_j,q_j}_{(\omega_j)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *for* $i=1,\dots,N$.

*Furthermore, if* $u_0=0$ *when* $p<\infty$, $v(x,\xi)=v(\xi)\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *is submultiplicative,* $f\in M^{p,1}_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, *and* $\phi,\psi$ *are entire funcitons on* $\mathbf{C}$ *with expansions*

$$\phi(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}u_kz^k,\qquad \psi(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|u_k|z^k,$$

*then* $\phi(f)\in M^{p,1}_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, *and*

$$\|\phi(f)\|_{M^{p,1}_{(v)}}\le C\,\psi(C\|f\|_{M^{p,1}_{(v)}}),$$

*for some constant* $C$ *which is independent of* $f\in M^{p,1}_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$

*Remark* 1.3. Assume that $p,q,q_1,q_2\in[1,\infty]$, $\omega_1\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and that $\omega,v\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ are such that $\omega$ is $v$-moderate. Then the following properties for modulation spaces hold:

(1) if $q_1\le\min(p,p')$, $q_2\ge\max(p,p')$ and $\omega(x,\xi)=\omega_1(x)$, then $M^{p,q_1}_{(\omega)}\subseteq L^p_{(\omega_0)}\subseteq M^{p,q_2}_{(\omega)}$. In particular, $M^2_{(\omega)}=L^2_{(\omega_0)}$;

(2) if $\omega(x,\xi)=\omega_1(x)$, then $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)\hookrightarrow C(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if and only if $q=1$;

(3) $M^{1,\infty}$ is a convolution algebra which contains all measures on $\mathbf{R}^n$ with bounded mass;

(4) if $x_0\in\mathbf{R}^n$ and $\omega_0(\xi)=\omega(x_0,\xi)$, then $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}\cap\mathscr{E}'=\mathscr{F}L^q_{(\omega_0)}\cap\mathscr{E}'$. Here $FL^q_{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ consists of all $f\in\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|\widehat f\,\omega_0\|_{L^q}<\infty.$$

Furthermore, if $B$ is a ball with radius $r$ and center at $x_0$, then

$$C^{-1}\|\widehat f\|_{L^q_{(\omega_0)}}\le\|f\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}\le C\|\widehat f\|_{L^q_{(\omega_0)}},\quad f\in\mathscr{E}'(B)$$

for some constant $C$ which only depends on $r$, $n$, $\omega$ and the chosen window functions;

(5) if $\omega(x,\xi)=\omega(\xi,x)$, then $M^p_{(\omega)}$ is invariant under the Fourier transform. A similar fact holds for partial Fourier transforms;

(6) for each $x,\xi\in\mathbf{R}^n$ we have

$$\|e^{i\langle\,\cdot\,,\xi\rangle}f(\,\cdot\,-x)\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}\le Cv(x,\xi)\|f\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}},$$

for some constant $C$;

(7) if $\tilde\omega(x,\xi)=\omega(x,-\xi)$ then $f\in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}$ if and only if $\bar f\in M^{p,q}_{(\tilde\omega)}$.

(See e.g. [12–14, 16–18, 21, 39].)

For future references we note that the constant $C_{r,n}$ is independent of the center of the ball $B$ in (4) in Remark 1.3.

In our investigations we need the following characterization of modulation spaces.

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in I}$ be a lattice in $\mathbf{R}^n$, $B_\alpha=x_\alpha+B$ where $B\subseteq\mathbf{R}^n$ is an open ball, and assume that $f_\alpha\in\mathscr{E}'(B_\alpha)$ for every $\alpha\in I$. Also assume that $p,q\in[1,\infty]$. Then the following is true:*

(1) *if*

$$f=\sum_{\alpha\in I}f_\alpha\quad\text{and}\quad F(\xi)\equiv\Big(\sum_{\alpha\in i}|\widehat{f_\alpha}(\xi)\omega(x_\alpha,\xi)|^p\Big)^{1/p}\in L^q(\mathbf{R}^n),\tag{1.2}$$

*then $f\in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}$, and $f\mapsto\|F\|_{L^q}$ defines a norm on $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}$ which is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}$ in (0.1);*

(2) *if in addition $\cup_\alpha B_\alpha=\mathbf{R}^n$, $\chi\in C_0^\infty(B)$ satisfies $\sum_\alpha\chi(\,\cdot\,-x_\alpha)=1$, $f\in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and $f_\alpha=f\,\chi(\,\cdot\,-x_\alpha)$, then $f_\alpha\in\mathscr{E}'(B_\alpha)$ and (1.2) is fulfilled.*

*Proof.* (1) Assume that $\chi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)\setminus 0$ is fixed. Since there is a bound of overlapping supports of $f_\alpha$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathscr{F}(f\chi(\,\cdot\,-x))(\xi)\omega(x,\xi)|&\le\sum|\mathscr{F}(f_\alpha\chi(\,\cdot\,-x))(\xi)\omega(x,\xi)|\\&\le C\Big(\sum|\mathscr{F}(f_\alpha\chi(\,\cdot\,-x))(\xi)\omega(x,\xi)|^p\Big)^{1/p}.\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C$. From the support properties of $\chi$, and the fact that $\omega$ is $v$-moderate for some $v\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, it follows for some constant $C$ independent of $\alpha$ we have

$$|\mathscr{F}(f_\alpha\chi(\,\cdot\,-x))(\xi)\omega(x,\xi)|\le C|\mathscr{F}(f_\alpha\chi(\,\cdot\,-x))(\xi)\omega(x_\alpha,\xi)|.$$

Hence, for some balls $B'$ and $B'_\alpha = x_\alpha + B'$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\mathscr{F}(f\chi(\,\cdot\, - x))(\xi)\omega(x,\xi)|^p\, dx\Big)^{1/p}
&\le C\Big( \sum_\alpha \int_{B'_\alpha} |\mathscr{F}(f_\alpha\chi(\,\cdot\, - x))(\xi)\omega(x_\alpha,\xi)|^p\, dx\Big)^{1/p} \\
&\le C\Big( \sum_\alpha \int_{B'_\alpha} \big(|\widehat{f}_\alpha\omega(x_\alpha,\,\cdot\,)| * |\widehat{\chi}v(0,\,\cdot\,)|(\xi)\big)^p\, dx\Big)^{1/p} \\
&\le C''\Big( \sum_\alpha \big(|\widehat{f}_\alpha\omega(x_\alpha,\,\cdot\,)| * |\widehat{\chi}v(0,\,\cdot\,)|(\xi)\big)^p\Big)^{1/p} \le C''F * |\widehat{\chi}v(0,\,\cdot\,)|(\xi),
\end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C'$ and $C''$. Here we have used Minkowski's inequality in the last inequality. By applying the $L^q$-norm and using Young's inequality we get

$$\|f\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}} \le C''\|F * |\widehat{\chi}v(0,\,\cdot\,)|\|_{L^q} \le C''\|F\|_{L^q}\|\widehat{\chi}v(0,\,\cdot\,)\|_{L^1}.$$

Since we have assumed that $F \in L^q$, it follows that $\|f\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}$ is finite. This proves (1).

The assertion (2) follows immediately from the general theory of modulation spaces. (See e.g. [21,22].) The proof is complete. □

Next we discuss (complex) interpolation properties for modulation spaces. Such properties were carefully investigated in [14] for classical modulation spaces, and thereafter extended in several directions in [17], where interpolation properties for coorbit spaces were established. (See also Subsection 1.2.) As a consequence of [17] we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.5.** *Assume that* $0 < \theta < 1$, $p_j, q_j \in [1,\infty]$ *and that* $\omega_j \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ *for* $j = 0,1,2$ *satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{p_0} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_1} + \frac{\theta}{p_2}, \quad \frac{1}{q_0} = \frac{1-\theta}{q_1} + \frac{\theta}{q_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_0 = \omega_1^{1-\theta}\omega_2^{\theta}.$$

*Then*

$$(\mathcal{M}^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^n), \mathcal{M}^{p_2,q_2}_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^n))_{[\theta]} = \mathcal{M}^{p_0,q_0}_{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

Next we recall some facts in Section 2 in [41] on narrow convergence. For any $f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, $\chi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $p \in [1,\infty]$, we set

$$H_{f,\omega,p}(\xi) = \Big( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\mathscr{F}(f\,\tau_x\chi)(\xi)\omega(x,\xi)|^p\, dx\Big)^{1/p}.$$

**Definition 1.6.** Assume that $f, f_j \in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^m)$, $j = 1,2,\dots$ . Then $f_j$ is said to converge *narrowly* to $f$ (with respect to $p,q \in [1,\infty]$, $\chi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^m) \setminus 0$ and $\omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2m})$), if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $f_j \to f$ in $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ as $j$ turns to $\infty$;
2. $H_{f_j,\omega,p}(\xi) \to H_{f,\omega,p}(\xi)$ in $L^q(\mathbf{R}^n)$ as $j$ turns to $\infty$.

*Remark* 1.7. Assume that $f, f_1, f_2, \dots \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies (1) in Definition 1.6, and assume that $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Then it follows from Fatou's lemma that

$$\liminf_{j\to\infty} H_{f_j,\omega,p}(\xi) \ge H_{f,\omega,p}(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{j\to\infty} \|f_j\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}} \ge \|f\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}.$$

The following proposition is important to us later on. We omit the proof since the result is a restatement of Proposition 2.3 in [41].

**Proposition 1.8.** *Assume that* $p,q \in [1,\infty]$ *such that* $q<\infty$*, and that* $\omega \in \mathscr P(\mathbf R^{2n})$*. Then* $C_0^\infty(\mathbf R^n)$ *is dense in* $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf R^n)$ *with respect to the narrow convergence.*

### 1.2. **Coorbit spaces of modulation space types.**

Next we discuss a familly of Banach spaces of time-frequency type which contains the modulation spaces. Certain types of these Banach spaces are used as symbol classes for Fourier integral operators which are considered in Subsection 2.5. (Cf. the introduction.)

Assume that $V_j$ and $W_j$ for $j=1,\dots 4$ are vector spaces of dimensions $n_j$ and $m_j$ respectively such that

$$V_1\oplus V_2 = V_3\oplus V_4 = \mathbf R^n, \qquad W_1\oplus W_2 = W_3\oplus W_4 = \mathbf R^m. \tag{1.3}$$

We let the euclidean structure in $V_j$ and $W_j$ be inherited from $\mathbf R^n$ and $\mathbf R^m$ respectively. For conveniency we also use the notation $\overline V$ and $\mathsf p$ for the quadruple $(V_1,\dots V_4)$ of the vector spaces $V_1,\dots,V_4$ and the quadruple $(p,q,r,s)$ in $[1,\infty]^4$, respectively. That is

$$\overline V = (V_1,\dots,V_4),\quad \overline W = (W_1,\dots,W_4),\quad \text{and}\quad \mathsf p = (p,q,r,s),$$

where $p,q,r,s\in[1,\infty]$. We also let and $\omega\in\mathscr P(\mathbf R^{2n})$, and we set

$$L^{\mathsf p}(\overline V) \equiv L^s(V_4;L^r(V_3;L^q(V_2;L^p(V_1)))).$$

Finally we let $L^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$ be the Banach space which consists of all $F\in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf R^{2n})$ such that $F\omega\in L^{\mathsf p}(\overline V)$. This means that $L^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$ is the set of all $F\in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf R^{2n})$ such that

$$\|F\|_{L^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)} \equiv \Big(\int_{V_4}\Big(\int_{V_3}\Big(\int_{V_2}\Big(\int_{V_1}|F(x,\xi)\omega(x,\xi)|^p\,dx_1\Big)^{q/p}dx_2\Big)^{r/q}d\xi_1\Big)^{s/r}d\xi_2\Big)^{1/s}$$

is finite (with obvious modifications when one or more of $p,q,r,s$ are equal to infinity). Here $dx_1,dx_2,d\xi_1,d\xi_2$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in $V_1,V_2,V_3,V_4$ respectively.

Next let $\chi\in\mathscr S(\mathbf R^n)\setminus 0$. Then the space $\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$ consists of all $f\in\mathscr S'(\mathbf R^n)$ such that $V_\chi f\in L^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$, i. e.

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)} \equiv \|V_\chi\|_{L^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)} < \infty. \tag{1.4}$$

We note that if $p=q$ and $r=s$, then $\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$ agrees with the modulation space $M^{p,r}_{(\omega)}$. On the other hand, if $p\neq q$ or $r\neq s$, then $\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$ is *not* a modulation space. However it is still a *coorbit space*, a familly of Banach spaces which is introduced and briefly investigated in [16,17]. By Corollary 4.6 in [16] it follows that $\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$ is homeomorphic to a retract of $L^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$, which implies that the interpolation properties of $L^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$ spaces carry over to $\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$ spaces. (Cf. Theorem 4.7 in [16].) Furthermore, if $\omega$ is the same in the involved spaces, it follows that $L^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$ has the same interpolation properties as $L^{\mathsf p}(\overline V)$. From these observations together with the fact that the proof of Theorem 5.6.3 in [3] shows that

$$(L^{p_1}(\mathbf R^n;\mathscr B_1),L^{p_2}(\mathbf R^n;\mathscr B_2))_{[\theta]} = L^p(\mathbf R^n;\mathscr B),$$

$$\text{when}\quad p,p_1,p_2\in[1,\infty],\mathscr B = (\mathscr B_1,\mathscr B_2)_{[\theta]}\quad\text{and}\quad \frac1p = \frac{1-\theta}{p_1}+\frac{\theta}{p_2},$$

it follows that the following result is an immediate consequence of Theorems 4.4.1 and 5.1.1 [3]. The second part is also a consequence of Corollary 4.6 in [16] and Theorem ?? in [25]. Here we use the convention

$$1/\mathsf p = (1/p,1/q,1/r,1/s)\quad\text{when}\quad \mathsf p = (p,q,r,s).$$

**Proposition 1.9.** *Assume that* $V_j\subseteq \mathbf R^n$ *and* $W_j\subseteq \mathbf R^m$ *for* $j=1,\dots,4$ *be vector spaces such that* (1.3) *holds,* $\mathsf p_j,\mathsf q_j\in [1,\infty]^4$ *for* $j=0,1,2$ *satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{\mathsf p_0}=\frac{1-\theta}{\mathsf p_1}+\frac{\theta}{\mathsf p_2},\quad \frac{1}{\mathsf q_0}=\frac{1-\theta}{\mathsf q_1}+\frac{\theta}{\mathsf q_2},$$

*for some* $\theta\in[0,1]$*. Also assume that* $\omega,\omega_j\in\mathscr P(\mathbf R^{2nj})$ *for* $j=1,2$*. Then the following is true:*

1. *the complex interpolation space* $(\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p_1}_{(\omega)}(\overline V),\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p_2}_{(\omega)}(\overline V))_{[\theta]}$ *is equal to* $\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$*;*
2. *if* $T$ *is a linear and continuous map from* $\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p_1}_{(\omega_1)}(\overline V)+\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p_2}_{(\omega_1)}(\overline V)$ *to* $\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf q_1}_{(\omega_2)}(\overline W)+\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf q_2}_{(\omega_2)}(\overline W)$*, which restricts to a continuous mappings from* $\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p_j}_{(\omega_1)}(\overline V)$ *to* $\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf q_j}_{(\omega_2)}(\overline W)$ *for* $j=1,2$*, then* $T$ *restricts to a continuous map from* $\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p_0}_{(\omega_1)}(\overline V)$ *to* $\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf q_0}_{(\omega_2)}(\overline W)$*.*

The most of the properties for modulation spaces stated in Proposition Proposition 1.1 and Remark 1.3 carry over to $\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}$ spaces. For example the analysis in [21] shows that the following result holds. Here we use the convention

$$\mathsf p_1\le \mathsf p_2 \qquad \text{when}\quad \mathsf p_j=(p_j,q_j,r_j,s_j)\quad\text{and}\quad p_1\le p_2,\ q_1\le q_2,\ r_1\le r_2,\ s_1\le s_2,$$

and

$$t_1\le \mathsf p\le t_2\quad \text{when}\quad \mathsf p=(p,q,r,s),\ t_1,t_2\in\mathbf R\cup\{\infty\}\quad\text{and}\quad t_1\le p,q,r,s\le t_2.$$

**Proposition 1.10.** *Assume that* $\mathsf p,\mathsf p_j\in[1,\infty]$ *for* $j=1,2$*, and* $\omega,\omega_1,\omega_2,v\in\mathscr P(\mathbf R^{2n})$ *are such that* $\omega$ *is* $v$*-moderate and* $\omega_2\le C\omega_1$ *for some constant* $C>0$*. Then the following are true:*

1. *if* $\chi\in M^1_{(v)}(\mathbf R^n)\setminus 0$*, then* $f\in\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$ *if and only if* (1.4) *holds, i. e.* $\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$ *is independent of the choice of* $\chi$*. Moreover,* $\mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$ *is a Banach space under the norm in* (1.4)*, and different choices of* $\chi$ *give rise to equivalent norms;*
2. *if* $\mathsf p_1\le\mathsf p_2$ *then*

$$\mathscr S(\mathbf R^n)\hookrightarrow \mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p_1}_{(\omega_1)}(\overline V)\hookrightarrow \mathbf\Theta^{\mathsf p_2}_{(\omega_2)}(\overline V)\hookrightarrow \mathscr S'(\mathbf R^n).$$

Later on we also need the following observation.

**Proposition 1.11.** *Assume that* $(x,y)\in V_1\oplus V_2=\mathbf R^{n_0+n}$ *with dual variables* $(\xi,\eta)\in V_4\oplus V_3$*, where* $V_1=V_4=\mathbf R^{n_0}$ *and* $V_2=V_3=\mathbf R^n$*. Also assume that* $f\in\mathscr S'(\mathbf R^n)$*,* $f_0\in\mathscr S'(\mathbf R^{n_0+n})$*,* $\omega\in\mathscr P(\mathbf R^{2n})$ *and* $\omega_0\in\mathscr P(\mathbf R^{2(n_0+n)})$ *satisfy*

$$f_0(x,y)=f(y)\quad (\textit{in } \mathscr S'(\mathbf R^{n_0+n}))\quad\text{and}\quad \omega_0(x,y,\xi,\eta)=\omega(y,\eta)\langle\xi\rangle^t$$

*for some* $t\in\mathbf R$*, and that* $p,q\in[1,\infty]$*. Then* $f\in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf R^n)$ *if and only if* $f_0\in M^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf R^{n_0+n})$ *and* $\mathsf p=(\infty,p,q,1)$*.*

*Proof.* Let $\chi_0=\chi_1\otimes\chi$, where $\chi_1\in\mathscr S(\mathbf R^{n_0})$ and $\chi\in\mathscr S(\mathbf R^n)$. By straight-forward computations it follows that

$$|V_{\chi_0}f_0(x,y,\xi,\eta)\omega_0(x,y,\xi,\eta)|=|V_\chi f(y,\eta)\omega(y,\eta)|\,|\widehat{\chi}_1(\xi)\langle\xi\rangle^t|. \tag{1.5}$$

Since $|\widehat{\chi}_1(\xi)|\langle\xi\rangle^t$ is rapidly decreasing to zero at infinity, the result follows by applying an appropriate mixed Lebesgue norm on (1.5). □

1.3. **Schatten-von Neumann classes and pseudo-differential operators.** Next we recall some facts in Chapter XVIII in [23] concerning pseudo-differential operators. Assume that $a \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, and that $t \in \mathbf{R}$ is fixed. Then the pseudo-differential operator $a_t(x,D)$ in (0.3) is a linear and continuous operator on $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, as remarked in the introduction. For general $a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, the pseudo-differential operator $a_t(x,D)$ is defined as the continuous operator from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with distribution kernel

$$K_{t,a}(x,y) = (2\pi)^{-n/2}(\mathscr{F}_2^{-1}a)((1-t)x+ty, y-x), \tag{1.6}$$

Here $\mathscr{F}_2 F$ is the partial Fourier transform of $F(x,y) \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ with respect to the $y$-variable. This definition makes sense, since the mappings $\mathscr{F}_2$ and $F(x,y) \mapsto F((1-t)x+ty, y-x)$ are homeomorphisms on $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$. Moreover, it agrees with the operator in (0.3) when $a \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2m})$.

Furthermore, for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$ fixed, it follows from the kernel theorem by Schwartz that the map $a \mapsto a_t(x,D)$ is bijective from $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ to $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n), \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n))$ (see e.g. [23]).

In particular, if $a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2m})$ and $s,t \in \mathbf{R}$, then there is a unique $b \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2m})$ such that $a_s(x,D) = b_t(x,D)$. By straight-forward applications of Fourier's inversion formula, it follows that

$$a_s(x,D) = b_t(x,D) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad b(x,\xi) = e^{i(t-s)\langle D_x, D_\xi\rangle} a(x,\xi). \tag{1.7}$$

(Cf. Section 18.5 in [23].)

Next we recall some facts on Schatten-von Neumann operators and pseudo-differential operators (cf. the introduction).

For each pairs of Hilbert spaces $\mathscr{H}_1$ and $\mathscr{H}_2$, the set $\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)$ is a Banach space which increases with $p \in [1,\infty]$, and if $p < \infty$, then $\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)$ is contained in the set of compact operators. Furthermore, $\mathscr{I}_1(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)$, $\mathscr{I}_2(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)$ and $\mathscr{I}_\infty(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)$ agree with the set of trace-class operators, Hilbert-Schmidt operators and continuous operators respectively, with the same norms.

Next we discuss complex interpolation properties of Schatten-von Neumann classes. Let $p,p_1,p_2 \in [1,\infty]$ and let $0 \le \theta \le 1$. Then similar *complex* interpolation properties hold for Schatten-von Neumann classes as for Lebesgue spaces, i.e. it holds

$$\mathscr{I}_p = (\mathscr{I}_{p_1}, \mathscr{I}_{p_2})_{[\theta]}, \quad \text{when} \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_1} + \frac{\theta}{p_2}. \tag{1.8}$$

(Cf. [31].) Furthermore, by Theorem 2.c.6 in [24] and its proof, together with the remark which followed that theorem, it follows that the *real* interpolation property

$$\mathscr{I}_p = (\mathscr{I}_2, \mathscr{I}_\infty)_{\theta,p}, \quad \text{when} \quad \theta = 1 - \frac{2}{p}. \tag{1.9}$$

We refer to [31,40] for a brief discussion of Schatten-von Neumann operators.

For any $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $p \in [1,\infty]$, let $s_{t,p}(\omega_1,\omega_2)$ be the set of all $a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ such that $a_t(x,D) \in \mathscr{I}_p(M^2_{(\omega_1)}, M^2_{(\omega_2)})$. Also set

$$\|a\|_{s_{t,p}} = \|a\|_{s_{t,p}(\omega_1,\omega_2)} \equiv \|a_t(x,D)\|_{\mathscr{I}_p(M^2_{(\omega_1)}, M^2_{(\omega_2)})}$$

when $a_t(x,D)$ is continuous from $M^2_{(\omega_1)}$ to $M^2_{(\omega_2)}$. By using the fact that $a \mapsto a_t(x,D)$ is a bijective map from $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ to $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n), \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n))$, it follows that the map $a \mapsto a_t(x,D)$ restricts to an isometric bijection from $s_{t,p}(\omega_1,\omega_2)$ to $\mathscr{I}_p(M^2_{(\omega_1)}, M^2_{(\omega_2)})$.

Here and in what follows we let $p' \in [1,\infty]$ denote the conjugate exponent of $p \in [1,\infty]$, i.e. $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

**Proposition 1.12.** *Assume that* $p, q_1, q_2 \in [1,\infty]$ *are such that* $q_1 \le \min(p,p')$ *and* $q_2 \ge \max(p,p')$. *Also assume that* $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ *and* $\omega, \omega_0 \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$ *satisfy*

$$\frac{\omega_2(x-ty,\xi+(1-t)\eta)}{\omega_1(x+(1-t)y,\xi-t\eta)} = \omega(x,\xi,\eta,y)$$

*and*

$$\omega_0(x,y,\xi,\eta) = \omega((1-t)x+ty, t\xi-(1-t)\eta, \xi+\eta, y-x).$$

*Then the following is true:*

(1) $M^{p,q_1}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n}) \subseteq s_{t,p}(\omega_1,\omega_2) \subseteq M^{p,q_2}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$;

(2) *the operator kernel* $K$ *of* $a_t(x,D)$ *belongs to* $M^p_{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ *if and only if* $a \in M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ *and for some constant* $C$, *which only depends on* $t$ *and the involved weight functions, it holds* $\|K\|_{M^p_{(\omega_0)}} = C\|a\|_{M^p_{(\omega)}}$

*Proof.* The assertion (1) is a restatement of Theorem 4.13 in [42]. The assertion (2) follows by similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 4.8 in [42], which we recall here. Let $\chi, \psi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ be such that

$$\psi(x,y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \chi((1-t)x+ty,\xi)e^{i\langle y-x,\xi\rangle}\, d\xi.$$

By applying the Fourier inversion formula it follows by straightforward computations that

$$|\mathscr{F}(K\tau_{(x-ty,x+(1-t)y)}\psi)(\xi+(1-t)\eta, -\xi+t\eta)| = |\mathscr{F}(a\tau_{(x,\xi)}\chi)(y,\eta)|.$$

The result now follows by applying the $L^p_{(\omega)}$ norm on these expressions. □

We also need the following proposition on continuity of linear operators with kernels in modulation spaces.

**Proposition 1.13.** *Assume that* $p \in [1,\infty]$, $\omega_j \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2n_j})$, *for* $j = 1, 2$, *and* $\omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2n_1+2n_2})$ *fulfill for some positive constant* $C$

$$\frac{\omega_2(x,\xi)}{\omega_1(y,-\eta)} \le C\omega(x,y,\xi,\eta). \tag{1.10}$$

*Assume moreover that* $K \in M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1+n_2})$ *and* $T$ *is the linear and continuous map from* $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1})$ *to* $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{n_2})$ *defined by*

$$(Tf)(x) = \langle K(x,\cdot), f\rangle \tag{1.11}$$

*when* $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1})$. *Then* $T$ *extends uniquely to a continuous map from* $M^{p'}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1})$ *to* $M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^{n_2})$.

*On the other hand, assume that* $T$ *is a linear continuous map from* $M^1_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1})$ *to* $M^\infty_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^{n_2})$, *and that equality is attained in* (1.10). *Then there is a unique kernel* $K \in M^\infty_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1+n_2})$ *such that* (1.11) *holds for every*

*Proof.* By Proposition 1.1 (3) and duality, it sufficies to prove that for some constant $C$ independent of $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1})$ and $g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_2})$, it holds:

$$|(K, g\otimes \bar f)| \le C\|K\|_{M^p_{(\omega)}}\|g\|_{M^{p'}_{(1/\omega_2)}}\|f\|_{M^{p'}_{(\omega_1)}}.$$

Let $\omega_3(x,\xi) = \omega_1(x,-\xi)$. Then by straight-forward calculation and using Remark 1.3 (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|(K, g\otimes \bar f)| &\le C_1\|K\|_{M^p_{(\omega)}}\|g\otimes \bar f\|_{M^{p'}_{(1/\omega)}} \le C_2\|K\|_{M^p_{(\omega)}}\|g\|_{M^{p'}_{(1/\omega_2)}}\|\bar f\|_{M^{p'}_{(\omega_3)}} \\
&\le C\|K\|_{M^p_{(\omega)}}\|g\|_{M^{p'}_{(1/\omega_2)}}\|f\|_{M^{p'}_{(\omega_1)}}
\end{aligned}$$

The last part of the proposition concerning converse the property in the case $p=\infty$ is a restatement of Proposition 4.7 in [42] on generalization of Feichtinger-Gröchenig's kernel theorem. $\square$

## 2. Continuity properties of Fourier integral operators

In this section we discuss Fourier integral operators with amplitudes in modulation spaces, or more generally in certain types of coorbit spaces. In Subsection 2.3 we extend Theorem 3.2 in [5] to more general modulation spaces are involved.

### 2.1. Notation and general assumptions.

In the most general situation, we assume that the phase function $\varphi$ and the amplitude $a$ depend on $x\in \mathbf R^{n_1}$, $y\in \mathbf R^{n_2}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbf R^m$, with dual variables $\xi \in \mathbf R^{n_1}$, $\eta \in \mathbf R^{n_2}$ and $z\in \mathbf R^m$. For conveniency we use the notation $N=n_1+n_2$, and $X,Y,Z,\dots$ for tripples of the form $(x,y,\zeta)\in \mathbf R^{N+m}$, that is

$$N=n_1+n_2,\qquad \text{and}\quad X=(x,y,\zeta)\in \mathbf R^{n_2}\oplus \mathbf R^{n_1}\oplus \mathbf R^m\simeq \mathbf R^{N+m}. \tag{2.1}$$

In order to state the results in Subsection 2.5 we also let $F\in C^1(\mathbf R^{N+m})$, $V_1$ be a linear subspace of $\mathbf R^{N+m}$ of dimension $N$, $V_2=V_1^\perp$, and let $V_j'\simeq V_j$ be the dual of $V_j$ for $j=1,2$. Also let any element $X=(x,y,\zeta)\in \mathbf R^{n_1+n_2+m}=\mathbf R^{N+m}$ and $(\xi,\eta,z)\in \mathbf R^{N+m}$ in be written as

$$\begin{aligned}(x,y,\zeta)&=\boldsymbol t_1e_1+\cdots+\boldsymbol t_Ne_N+\boldsymbol \varrho_1e_{N+1}\cdots+\boldsymbol \varrho_me_{N+m}\\ &\equiv(\boldsymbol t,\boldsymbol \varrho)=(\boldsymbol t,\boldsymbol \varrho)_{V_1\oplus V_2}.\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\xi,\eta,z)&=\boldsymbol \tau_1e_1+\cdots+\boldsymbol \tau_Ne_N+\boldsymbol u_1e_{N+1}\cdots+\boldsymbol u_me_{N+m}\\ &\equiv(\boldsymbol \tau,\boldsymbol u)=(\boldsymbol \tau,\boldsymbol u)_{V_1'\oplus V_2'}.\end{aligned}$$

for some orthonormal basis $e_1,\dots,e_{N+m}$ in $\mathbf R^{N+m}$, and let $F_{\boldsymbol \zeta}'$ denotes the gradient of $F$ with respect to the basis $e_{N+1},\dots,e_{N+m}$. Assume that $\mathsf d>0$, $\omega,v\in \mathscr P(\mathbf R^{2(N+m)})$, $\omega_j\in \mathscr P(\mathbf R^{2n_j})$ and $\varphi\in C(\mathbf R^{N+m)}$ are such that $v(X,\xi,\eta,z)=v(\xi,\eta,z)$ is submultiplicative, $\varphi''\in M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}(\mathbf R^{N+m})$ and that (2.2) and (2.3) hold.

It is also convenient to let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf E_{a,\omega}(\boldsymbol t,\boldsymbol \varrho,\boldsymbol \tau,\boldsymbol u)&=|V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z)\omega(X,\xi,\eta,z)|,\\ \mathcal E_{a,\omega}(x,y,\boldsymbol u)&=\sup_{\zeta,\boldsymbol \tau}\mathbf E_{a,\omega}(\boldsymbol t,\boldsymbol \varrho,\boldsymbol \tau,\boldsymbol u),\end{aligned}$$

when $a\in \mathscr S'(\mathbf R^{N+m})$. Here $\boldsymbol u\in V_2'$ and the supremum should be taken over $\zeta\in \mathbf R^m$ and $\boldsymbol \tau\in V_1'$.

Next we discuss general assumptions on the weight functions and phase functions. In general we assume the phase function $\varphi\in C(\mathbf R^{N+m})$, and the weight functions $\omega,v\in \mathscr P(\mathbf R^{N+m}\times \mathbf R^{N+m})$, $\omega_0\in \mathscr P(\mathbf R^{2N})$ and $\omega_1,\omega_2\in \mathscr P(\mathbf R^{2n_j})$, are such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

(1) $v$ is submultiplicative and satisfies

$$\begin{gathered}v(X,\xi,\eta,z)=v(\xi,\eta,z),\qquad \text{and}\\ v(t\,\cdot\,)\le Cv\qquad X\in \mathbf R^{N+m},\ \xi\in \mathbf R^{n_2},\ \eta\in \mathbf R^{n_1}\ z\in \mathbf R^m,\end{gathered}\tag{2.2}$$

for some constant $C$ which is independent of $t\in[0,1]$. In particular, $v(X,\xi,\eta,z)$ is constant with respect to $X\in \mathbf R^{N+m}$;

(2) $\varphi^{(\alpha)} \in M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ for all indices $\alpha$ such that $|\alpha| = 2$ and

$$\begin{gathered}\frac{\omega_2(x,\xi)}{\omega_1(y,-\eta)} \le C_1\omega_0(x,y,\xi,\eta) \le C_2\omega(X,\xi-\varphi'_x(X),\eta-\varphi'_y(X),-\varphi'_\zeta(X)),\\ \omega(X,\xi_1+\xi_2,\eta_1+\eta_2,z_1+z_2) \le C\omega(X,\xi_1,\eta_1,z_1)v(\xi_2,\eta_2,z_2),\\ X=(x,y,\zeta)\in\mathbf{R}^{N+m},\quad \xi,\xi_1,\xi_2\in\mathbf{R}^{n_2},\ \eta,\eta_1,\eta_2\in\mathbf{R}^{n_1},\ z_1,z_2\in\mathbf{R}^m,\end{gathered}\tag{2.3}$$

for some constants $C$, $C_1$ and $C_2$ which are independent of $X \in \mathbf{R}^{N+m}$, $\xi_1,\xi_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{n_1}$, $\eta_1,\eta_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{n_2}$ and $z_1,z_2 \in \mathbf{R}^m$.

2.2. **The continuity assertions.** In most of our investigations we consider Fourier integral operator $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ where amplitude $a$ belongs to appropriate Banach space which are defined in similar way as certain types of coorbit spaces in Subsection 1.3, and that the phase function $\varphi$ should satisfy the conditions in Subsection 2.1. In this context we find appropriate conditions on $a$, $\varphi$ such that the conditions (i)–(iii) below are fulfilled. Here the definition of admissible pairs $(a,\varphi)$ are presented in Subsection 2.5 below.

(i) *the pair $(a,\varphi)$ is admissible, and the kernel $K_{a,\varphi}$ of $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ belongs to $M^p_{(\omega_0)}$, and*

$$\|K_{a,\varphi}\|_{M^p_{(\omega_0)}} \le C\mathsf{d}^{-1}\exp(\|\varphi''\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}})\|a\|,$$

*for some constant $C$ which is independent of $a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ and $\varphi \in C(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$;*

(ii) *the definition of $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ extends uniquely to a continuous operator from $M^{p'}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1})$ to $M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^{n_2})$. Furthermore, for some constant $C$ it holds*

$$\|\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)\|_{M^{p'}_{(\omega_1)}\to M^p_{(\omega_2)}} \le C\mathsf{d}^{-1}\exp(\|\varphi''\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}})\|a\|\,;$$

(iii) *if in addition $1 \le p \le 2$, then $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a) \in \mathscr{I}_p(M^2_{(\omega_1)}, M^2_{(\omega_2)})$.*

2.3. **Reformulation of Fourier integral operators in terms of short time Fourier transforms.** For each real-valued $\varphi \in C(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ which satisfies $\varphi^{(\alpha)} \in M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ for all multi-indices $\alpha$ such that $|\alpha| = 2$, and $a \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$, it follows that the Fourier integral operator $f \mapsto \operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)f$ in (0.2) is well-defined and makes sense as a continuous operator from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1})$ to $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{n_2})$, that is

$$(\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)f,g) = (2\pi)^{-N/2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N+m}} a(X)e^{i\varphi(X)}f(y)\overline{g(x)}\,dX,$$

when $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1})$ and $g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_2})$. In order to extend the definition we reformulate the latter relation in terms of short-time Fourier transforms.

Assume that $0 \le \chi,\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ and $0 \le \chi_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{n_j})$ for $j = 1,2$ satisfy

$$\|\chi_j\|_{L^1} = \|\chi\|_{L^2} = 1,$$

and let $X_1 = (x_1,y_1,\zeta_1) \in \mathbf{R}^{N+m}$. By straight-forward computations we get

$$(2\pi)^{N/2}(\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)f,g) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N+m}} a(X)f(y)\overline{g(x)}e^{i\varphi(X)}\,dX$$

$$= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2(N+m)}} a(X+X_1)\chi(X_1)^2 f(y+y_1)\chi_1(y_1)\overline{g(x+x_1)\chi_2(x_1)}e^{i\psi(X_1)\varphi(X+X_1)}\,dX\,dX_1$$

Then Parseval's formula gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&(2\pi)^{N/2}(\mathsf{Op}_\varphi(a)f,g)\\
&= \iiiint_{\mathbf{R}^{2(N+m)}} F(X,\xi,\eta,\zeta_1)\mathscr{F}(f(y+\,\cdot\,)\chi_1)(-\eta)\overline{\mathscr{F}(g(x+\,\cdot\,)\chi_2)(\xi)}\,dX\,d\xi d\eta d\zeta_1\\
&= \iiiint_{\mathbf{R}^{2(N+m)}} F(X,\xi,\eta,\zeta_1)(V_{\chi_1}f)(y,-\eta)\overline{(V_{\chi_2}g)(x,\xi)}e^{-i(\langle x,\xi\rangle+\langle y,\eta\rangle)}\,dX\,d\xi d\eta d\zeta_1,\\
&= \iiint_{\mathbf{R}^{2N+m}} \Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^m} F(X,\xi,\eta,\zeta_1)\,d\zeta_1\Big)(V_{\chi_1}f)(y,-\eta)\overline{(V_{\chi_2}g)(x,\xi)}e^{-i(\langle x,\xi\rangle+\langle y,\eta\rangle)}\,dX\,d\xi d\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(X,\xi,\eta,\zeta_1) = \mathscr{F}_{1,2}\big(e^{i\psi(\,\cdot\,,\zeta_1)\varphi(X+(\,\cdot\,,\zeta_1))}a(X+(\,\cdot\,,\zeta_1))\chi(\,\cdot\,,\zeta_1)^2\big)(\xi,\eta).$$

Here $\mathscr{F}_{1,2}a$ denotes the partial Fourier transform of $a(x,y,\zeta)$ with respect to the $x$ and $y$ variables.

By Taylor's formula it follows that

$$\psi(X_1)\varphi(X+X_1) = \psi(X_1)\psi_{1,X}(X_1)+\psi_{2,X}(X_1),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi_{1,X}(X_1) = \varphi(X)+\langle\varphi'(X),X_1\rangle \quad\text{and}\\
&\psi_{2,X}(X_1) = \psi(X_1)\int_0^1(1-t)\langle\varphi''(X+tX_1)X_1,X_1\rangle\,dt.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

By inserting these expressions into the definition of $F(X,\xi,\eta,\zeta_1)$, and integrating with respect to the $\zeta_1$-variable give

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^m} F(X,\xi,\eta,\zeta_1)\,d\zeta_1\\
&\qquad = (2\pi)^{m/2}\mathscr{F}((e^{i\psi_{2,X}}\chi)(a(\,\cdot\,+X)\chi)(\xi-\varphi_x'(X),\eta-\varphi_y'(X),-\varphi_\zeta'(X))\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad = (2\pi)^{N/2}\mathcal{H}_{a,\varphi}(X,\xi,\eta),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{a,\varphi}(X,\xi,\eta) &= h_X*(\mathscr{F}(a(\,\cdot+X)\chi))(\xi-\varphi_x'(X),\eta-\varphi_y'(X),-\varphi_\zeta'(X)),\\
&\text{and}\quad h_X = (2\pi)^{-(N-m/2)}(\mathscr{F}(e^{i\psi_{2,X}}\chi))
\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

Summing up we have proved that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\,\mathrm{Op}_\varphi(a)f,g) = T_{a,\varphi}(f,g)\\
&\qquad \equiv \iiint \mathcal{H}_{a,\varphi}(X,\xi,\eta)(V_{\chi_0}f)(y,-\eta)\overline{(V_{\chi_0}g)(x,\xi)}e^{-i(\langle x,\xi\rangle+\langle y,\eta\rangle)}\,dX\,d\xi d\eta.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.6}$$

### 2.4. **An extension of a result by Boulkhemair.**

Next we consider Fourier integral operators with amplitudes in the modulation space $M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n+m})$, where we are able to state and prove the announced generalization of Theorem 3.2 in [6]. Here we assume that $n_1=n_2=n$ which implies that $N=2n$.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that $1<p<\infty$, and that $\varphi\in C(\mathbf{R}^{2n+m})$, $\omega,v\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2(N+m)})$ and $\omega_1,\omega_2\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ fulfill the conditions in Subsection 2.1. Also assume that* (0.4) *holds for some* $\mathsf{d}>0$. *Then the following is true:*

(1) *the map $a\mapsto\mathrm{Op}_\varphi(a)$ from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n+m})$ to $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n),\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n))$ extends uniquely to a continuous map from $M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n+m})$ to $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n),\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n))$;*

(2) *if* $a \in M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n+m})$, *then the map* $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ *from* $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *to* $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *is uniquely extendable to a continuous operator from* $M^p_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *to* $M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. *Moreover, for some constant* $C$ *it holds*

$$\|\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)\|_{M^p_{(\omega_1)}\to M^p_{(\omega_2)}} \le C\mathsf{d}^{-1}\|a\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}} \exp(C\|\varphi''\|_{M^{\infty 1,}_{(v)}}). \tag{2.7}$$

The proof needs some preparing lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Assume that* $v(x,\xi) = v(\xi) \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *is submultiplicative and satisfies* $v(t\xi) \le Cv(\xi)$ *for some constant* $C$ *which is independent of* $t \in [0,1]$ *and* $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$. *Also assume that* $f \in M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *and that* $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, *and let*

$$\varphi_{x,j,k}(y) = \chi(y)\int_0^1 (1-t)f(x+ty)y_jy_k\,dt.$$

*Then there is a constant* $C$ *and a function* $g \in M^1_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *such that* $\|g\|_{M^1_{(v)}} \le C\|f\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}}$ *and* $|\mathscr{F}(\varphi_{x,j,k})(\xi)| \le \widehat{g}(\xi)$.

*Proof.* We first prove the assertion when $\chi$ is replaced by $\psi_0(y) = e^{-2|y|^2}$. For conveniency we let

$$\psi_1(y) = e^{-|y|^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2(y) = e^{|y|^2},$$

and

$$H_{\infty,f}(\xi) \equiv \sup_x |\mathscr{F}(f\,\psi_1(\,\cdot\,-x))(\xi)|.$$

We claim that $g$, defined by

$$\widehat{g}(\xi) = \int_0^1\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(1-t)H_{\infty,f}(\eta)e^{-|\xi-t\eta|^2/16}\,d\eta dt, \tag{2.8}$$

fulfills the required properties.

In fact, by applying $M^1_{(v)}$ norm on $g$ and using Minkowski's inequality and Remark 1.3 (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g\|_{M^1_{(v)}} &= \Big\|\int_0^1\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(1-t)H_{\infty,f}(\eta)e^{-|\xi-t\eta|^2/16}\,d\eta dt\Big\|_{M^1_{(v)}} \\
&\le \int_0^1\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(1-t)H_{\infty,f}(\eta)\|e^{-|\,\cdot\,-t\eta|^2/16}\|_{M^1_{(v)}}\,d\eta dt \\
&\le C_1\int_0^1\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(1-t)H_{\infty,f}(\eta)\|e^{-|\,\cdot\,|^2/16}\|_{M^1_{(v)}}v(t\eta)\,d\eta dt \\
&\le C_2\int_0^1\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(1-t)H_{\infty,f}(\eta)v(\eta)\|e^{-|\,\cdot\,|^2/16}\|_{M^1_{(v)}}\,d\eta dt \\
&= C_3\|H_{\infty,f}v\|_{L^1} = C_3\|f\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to prove that $|\mathscr{F}(\varphi_{x,j,k})(\xi)| \le g(\xi)$, we let $\psi(y) = \psi_{j,k}(y) = y_jy_k\psi_0(y)$. Then

$$\varphi_{x,j,k}(y) = \psi(y)\int_0^1(1-t)f(x+ty)\,dt.$$

By a change of variables we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathscr{F}(\varphi_{x,j,k})(\xi)| &= \Big| \int_0^1 (1-t)\Big( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x+ty)\psi(y)e^{-i\langle y,\xi\rangle}\, dy\Big)\, dt \Big|\\
&= \Big| \int_0^1 t^{-n}(1-t)\mathscr{F}(f\,\psi((\,\cdot\,-x)/t))(\xi/t)e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle/t}\, dt\Big| \qquad (2.9)\\
&\le \int_0^1 t^{-n}(1-t)\sup_{x\in\mathbf{R}^n} |\mathscr{F}(f\,\psi((\,\cdot\,-x)/t))(\xi/t)|\, dt.
\end{aligned}
$$

We need to estimate the right-hand side. By straight-forward computations we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\mathscr{F}(f\,\psi((\,\cdot\,-x)/t))(\xi)|\\
&\qquad\le (2\pi)^{-n/2}\big(|\mathscr{F}(f\,\psi_1(\,\cdot\,-x))| * |\mathscr{F}(\psi((\,\cdot\,-x)/t)\,\psi_2(\,\cdot\,-x))|\big)(\xi)\\
&\qquad\qquad = (2\pi)^{-n/2}\big(|\mathscr{F}(f\,\psi_1(\,\cdot\,-x))| * |\mathscr{F}(\psi(\,\cdot\,/t)\,\psi_2)|\big)(\xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the convolutions should be taken with respect to the $\xi$-variable only. Then

$$
|\mathscr{F}(f\,\psi((\,\cdot\,-x)/t))(\xi)| \le (2\pi)^{-n/2}\big(H_{\infty,f} * |\mathscr{F}(\psi(\,\cdot\,/t)\,\psi_2)|\big)(\xi) \tag{2.10}
$$

For the estimate of the latter Fourier transform we observe that

$$
|\mathscr{F}(\psi(\,\cdot\,/t)\,\psi_2)| = |\partial_j\partial_k\mathscr{F}(\psi_0(\,\cdot\,/t)\,\psi_2)|. \tag{2.11}
$$

Since $\psi_0$ and $\psi_2$ are Gauss functions and $0\le t\le 1$, a straight-forward computation gives

$$
\mathscr{F}(\psi_0(\,\cdot\,/t)\,\psi_2)(\xi) = \pi^{n/2}t^n(2-t^2)^{-n/2}e^{-t^2|\xi|^2/(4(2-t^2))}. \tag{2.12}
$$

Thus a combination of (2.11) and (2.12) therefore give

$$
|\mathscr{F}(\psi(\,\cdot\,/t)\,\psi_2)(\xi)| \le Ct^ne^{-t^2|\xi|^2/16}, \tag{2.13}
$$

for some constant $C$ which is independent of $t\in[0,1]$. The assertion now follows by combining (2.9), (2.10) and (2.13).

In order to prove the result for general $\chi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ we set

$$
h_{x,j,h}(y) = \psi_0(y)\int_0^1 (1-t)f(x+ty)y_jy_k\, dt,
$$

and we observe that the result is already proved when $\varphi_{x,j,k}$ is replaced by $h_{x,j,h}$ and moreover $\varphi_{x,j,k} = \chi_1 h_{x,j,k}$, for some $\chi_1\in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Hence if $g_0$ is given as the right-hand side of (2.8), the first part of the proof shows that

$$
|\mathscr{F}(\varphi_{x,j,k})(\xi)| = |\mathscr{F}(\chi_1 h_{x,j,k}(\xi))| \le (2\pi)^{-n/2}|\widehat{\chi_1}| * g-0(\xi) \equiv g(\xi).
$$

Moreover $\|g_0\|_{M^1_{(v)}} \le C\|f\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}}$.

Since $M^1_{(v)} * L^1_{(v)} \subseteq M^1_{(v)}$, we get for some positive constants $C, C_1$

$$
\|g\|_{M^1_{(v)}} \le C\|\widehat{\chi_1}\|_{L^1_{(v)}}\|g_0\|_{M^1_{(v)}} \le C_1\|f\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}},
$$

which proves the result □

As a consequence of Lemma 2.2 we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume that* $v(x,\xi) = v(\xi)\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *is submultiplicative and satisfies* $v(t\xi)\le Cv(\xi)$ *for some constant* $C$ *which is independent of* $t\in[0,1]$ *and* $\xi\in\mathbf{R}^n$. *Also assume that* $f_{j,k}\in M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *for* $j,k=1,\dots,n$, $\chi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *and that* $x\in\mathbf{R}^n$, *and let*

$$
\varphi_x(y) = \sum_{j,k=1,\dots,n}\varphi_{x,j,k}(y),\quad \textit{where}\quad \varphi_{x,j,k}(y) = \chi(y)\int_0^1 (1-t)f_{j,k}(x+ty)y_jy_k\, dt.
$$

*Then there is a constant* $C$ *and a function* $\Psi \in M^1_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *such that*

$$\|\Psi\|_{M^1_{(v)}} \le \exp(C \sup_{j,k} \|f_{j,k}\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}})$$

*and*

$$|\mathscr{F}(\exp(i\varphi_x)(\xi))| \le (2\pi)^{n/2}\delta_0 + \widehat{\Psi}(\xi). \tag{2.14}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2, we may find a function $g \in M^1_{(v)}$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\widehat{\varphi_x}(\xi)| \le \widehat{g}(\xi), \qquad \|g\|_{M^1_{(v)}} \le C \sup_{j,k} \Big( \|f_{j,k}\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}} \Big) .$$

Set

$$\Phi_{0,x} = (2\pi)^{n/2}\delta_0, \qquad \Phi_{l,x} = |\mathscr{F}(\varphi_x)| * \cdots * |\mathscr{F}(\varphi_x)|, \qquad l \ge 1$$

$$\Upsilon_0 = (2\pi)^{n/2}\delta_0, \qquad \widehat{\Upsilon}_l = \widehat{g} * \cdots * \widehat{g}, \qquad l \ge 1,$$

with $l$ factors in the convolutions. Then by Taylor expansion, there is a positive constant $C$ such that

$$|\mathscr{F}(\exp(i\varphi_x(\,\cdot\,))(\xi))| \le \sum_{l=0}^\infty C^l \Phi_{l,x}/l! \le \sum_{l=0}^\infty C^l \widehat{\Upsilon}_l/l!.$$

Hence, if

$$\Psi \equiv \sum_{l=1}^\infty C^l \Upsilon_l / l!,$$

then (2.14) follows. Furthermore, since $v$ is submultiplicative, by means of Proposition 1.2 we obtain

$$\|\Upsilon_l\|_{M^1_{(v)}} = (2\pi)^{(l-1)n/2}\|g \cdots g\|_{M^1_{(v)}} \le (C_1 \|g\|_{M^1_{(v)}})^l, \qquad l \ge 1,$$

for some positive constant $C_1$. This gives

$$\|\Psi\|_{M^1_{(v)}} \le \sum_{l=1}^\infty \|\Upsilon_l\|_{M^1_{(v)}}/l! \le \sum_{l=1}^\infty (C_1 \|g\|_{M^1_{(v)}})^l/l!$$

$$\le \sum_{l=1}^\infty (C_2 \sup_{j,k}(\|f_{j,k}\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}})^l/l! \le \exp(C_2 \sup_{j,k} \|f_{j,k}\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}}),$$

for some constants $C_1$ and $C_2$, and the assertion is proved. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* We shall mainly follow the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [6]. First assume that $a \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2n+m})$ and $f, g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then it follows that $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ makes sense as a continuous operator from $\mathscr{S}$ to $\mathscr{S}'$. Since

$$|\mathscr{F}(e^{i\Psi_{2,X}}\chi)| \le (2\pi)^{-n+m/2}|\mathscr{F}(e^{i\Psi_{2,X}})| * |\widehat{\chi}|, \qquad |\mathscr{F}(a(\,\cdot\, + X)\chi)| = |V_\chi a(X, \cdot\,)|,$$

if follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$|\mathcal{H}_{a,\varphi}(X,\xi,\eta)| \le C(G * |V_\chi a(X, \cdot\,)|)(\xi - \varphi'_x(X), \eta - \varphi'_y(X), -\varphi'_\zeta(X)), \tag{2.15}$$

for some non-negative $G \in L^1_{(v)}$ which satisfies $\|G\|_{L^1_{(v)}} \le C \exp(C\|\varphi''\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}}$. Here $\mathcal{H}_{a,\varphi}$ is the same as in (2.5).

Next we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(X,\xi,\eta,z) &= |V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z)\omega(X,\xi,\eta,z)|,\\
\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{a,\omega}(\xi,\eta,z) &= \sup_X \mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(X,\xi,\eta,z)\\
F_1(y,\eta) &= |V_{\chi_1} f(y,\eta)\omega_1(y,\eta)|,\\
F_2(x,\xi) &= |V_{\chi_2} g(x,\xi)/\omega_2(x,\xi)|,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_{a,\omega}(\mathsf{X},\zeta) = \mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(X,\xi-\varphi'_x(X),\eta-\varphi'_y(X),-\varphi'_\zeta(X)), \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_{a,\omega,\varphi}(\mathsf{X},\zeta) &= ((Gv)*\mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(X,\,\cdot\,))(\xi-\varphi'_x(X),\eta-\varphi'_y(X),-\varphi'_\zeta(X)),\\ X &= (x,y,\zeta),\quad \mathsf{X}=(x,y,\xi,\eta).\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Note here the difference between $X$ and $\mathsf{X}$. By combining (2.3) with (2.15) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\iiint &|\mathcal{H}_{a,\varphi}(X,\xi,\eta)(V_{\chi_1}f)(y,-\eta)(V_{\chi_2}g)(x,\xi)|\,dXd\xi d\eta\\ &\le C_1\iiint (G*|V_\chi a(X,\,\cdot\,)|)(\xi-\varphi'_x(X),\eta-\varphi'_y(X),-\varphi'_\zeta(X))\times\\ &\qquad\qquad |(V_{\chi_1}f)(y,-\eta)(V_{\chi_2}g)(x,\xi)|\,dXd\xi d\eta\\ &\qquad\qquad\le C_2\iiint \mathcal{R}_{a,\omega,\varphi}(\mathsf{X},\zeta)F_1(y,-\eta)F_2(x,\xi)\,dXd\xi d\eta\end{aligned}$$

Summing up we have proved that

$$|(\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)f,g)| \le C\iiint \mathcal{R}_{a,\omega,\varphi}(\mathsf{X},\zeta)F_1(y,-\eta)F_2(x,\xi)\,dXd\xi d\eta. \tag{2.19}$$

It follows from (2.16), (2.18), (2.19)$'$ and Hölder's inequality that

$$|(\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)f,g)| \le CJ_1\cdot J_2, \tag{2.20}$$

where

$$J_1 = \Big(\iiint (Gv)*\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{a,\omega}(\xi-\varphi'_x(X),\eta-\varphi'_y(X),-\varphi'_\zeta(X))F_1(y,-\eta)^p\,dXd\xi d\eta\Big)^{1/p}$$

$$J_2 = \Big(\iiint ((Gv)*\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{a,\omega}(\xi-\varphi'_x(X),\eta-\varphi'_y(X),-\varphi'_\zeta(X))F_2(x,\xi)^{p'}\,dXd\xi d\eta\Big)^{1/p'}.$$

We have to estimate $J_1$ and $J_2$. By taking $z=\varphi'_\zeta(X)$, $\zeta_0=\varphi'_y(X)$, $y$, $\xi$ and $\eta$ as new variables of integrations, and using (0.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}J_1 &\le \Big(\mathsf{d}^{-1}\iiint (Gv)*\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{a,\omega}(\xi-\kappa_1(y,z,z_0),\eta-\zeta_0,z)F_1(y,-\eta)^p\,dydzd\xi d\eta d\zeta_0\Big)^{1/p}\\ &= \Big(\mathsf{d}^{-1}\iiint (Gv)*\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{a,\omega}(\xi,\zeta_0,z)F_1(y,-\eta)^p\,dydzd\xi d\eta d\zeta_0\Big)^{1/p}\\ &= \mathsf{d}^{-1/p}\|(Gv)*\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{a,\omega}\|_{L^1}^{1/p}\|F_1\|_{L^p},\end{aligned}$$

for some continuous function $\kappa_1$. It follows from Young's inequality and (2.3) that

$$\|(Gv)*\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{a,\omega}\|_{L^1} \le \|G\|_{L^1_{(v)}}\|\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{a,\omega}\|_{L^1}.$$

Hence

$$J_1 \le \mathsf{d}^{-1/p}\left(C\exp(C\|\varphi''\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}})\|a\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}}\right)^{1/p}\|f\|_{M^p_{(\omega_1)}}. \tag{2.21}$$

If we instead take $x$, $y_0=\varphi'_3(X)$, $\xi$, $\eta$ and $\zeta_0=\varphi'_1(X)$ as new variables of integrations, it follows by similar arguments that

$$J_2 \le \mathsf{d}^{-1/p'}\left(C\exp(C\|\varphi''\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}})\|a\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}}\right)^{1/p'}\|g\|_{M^{p'}_{(1/\omega_2)}}. \tag{2.21$'$}$$

A combination of (2.20), (2.21) and (2.21)$'$ now gives

$$|(\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)f,g)| \le C\mathsf{d}^{-1}\|a\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}}\|f\|_{M^p_{(\omega_1)}}\|g\|_{M^{p'}_{(1/\omega_2)}}\exp(C\|\varphi''\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}}),$$

which proves (2.7), and the result follows when $a\in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2n+m})$ and $f,g\in\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Since $\mathscr{S}$ is dense in $M^p_{(\omega_1)}$ and $M^{p'}_{(1/\omega_2)}$, the result also holds for $a\in C_0^\infty$ and $f\in M^p_{(\omega_1)}$. Hence it follows by Hahn-Banach's theorem that the asserted extension of the map $a\mapsto\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ exists.

It remains to prove that this extension is unique. Therefore assume that $a\in M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}$ is arbitrary, and take a sequence $a_j\in C_0^\infty$ for $j=1,2,\dots$ which converges to $a$ with respect to the narrow convergence. Then $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{a_j,\omega}$ converges to $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{a,\omega}$ in $L^1$ as $j$ turns to infinity. By (2.4)–(2.6) and the arguments at the above, it follows from Lebesgue's theorem that

$$(\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a_j)f,g)\to(\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)f,g)$$

as $j$ turns to infinity. This proves the uniqueness, and the result follows. □

2.5. **Fourier integral operators with amplitudes in coorbit spaces.** A crucial point concerning the uniqueness when extending the definition of $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi$ to amplitudes in $M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}$ in Theorem 2.1 is that $C_0^\infty$ is dense in $M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}$ with respect to the narrow convergence. On the other hand, the uniqueness of the extension of the definition might be violated when spaces of amplitudes are considered where such density or duality properties are missing. In the present paper we use the reformulation (2.6) to extend the definition of the Fourier integral operator in (0.2) to certain amplitues which are not contained in $M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}$.

More precisely, assume that $a\in\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$, $f\in\mathbf{R}^{n_1}$, $g\in\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_2})$ and that the mapping

$$(X,\xi,\eta)\mapsto\mathcal{H}_{a,\varphi}(X,\xi,\eta)(V_{\chi_0}f)(y,-\eta)\overline{(V_{\chi_0}g)(x,\xi)}$$

belongs to $L^1(\mathbf{R}^{N+m}\times\mathbf{R}^N)$. (Here recall that $N=n_1+n_2$, where, from now on, $n_1$ and $n_2$ might be different.) Then we let $T_{a,\varphi}(f,g)$ be defined as the right-hand side of (2.6).

**Definition 2.4.** Assume that $N=n_1+n_2$, $v\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{N+m}\times\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ is submultiplicative and satisfies (2.2), $\varphi\in C^2(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ is such and that $\varphi^{(\alpha)}\in M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}$ for all multi-indices $\alpha$ such that $|\alpha|=2$, and that $a\in\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ is such that $f\mapsto T_{a,\varphi}(f,g_0)$ and $g\mapsto T_{a,\varphi}(f_0,g)$ are well-defined and continuous from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1})$ and from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_2})$ respectively to $\mathbf{C}$, for each fixed $f_0\in\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1})$ and $g_0\in\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_2})$. Then the pair $(a,\varphi)$ is called *admissible*, and the Fourier integral operator $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ is the linear continuous mapping from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1})$ to $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{n_2})$ which is defined by the formulas (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6).

Here recall that if for each fixed $f_0\in\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1})$ and $g_0\in\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_2})$, the mappings $f\mapsto T(f,g_0)$ and $g\mapsto T(f_0,g)$ are continuous from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1})$ and from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_2})$ respectively to $\mathbf{C}$, then it follows by Banach-Steinhauss theorem that $(f,g)\mapsto T(f,g)$ is continuous from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_1})\times\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_2})$ to $\mathbf{C}$.

The following theorem involves Fourier integral operators with amplitudes which are not contained in $M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}$.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Assume that $N$, $\chi$, $\omega$, $\omega_j$, $v$, $\varphi$, $V_j$, $V_j'$, $\boldsymbol{\varrho}$ $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}$ for $j=0,1,2$ are the same as in Subsection 2.1. Also assume that $a\in\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ fulfills $\|a\|<\infty$, where*

$$\|a\|\equiv\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x,y}\Big(\int_{V_2'}\big(\sup_{\zeta\in\mathbf{R}^m,\,\boldsymbol{\tau}\in V_1'}|V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z)|\big)\,d\boldsymbol{u}\Big),$$

*and that* $|\det(\varphi''_{\varrho,\zeta})| \ge \mathsf{d}$ *for some* $\mathsf{d} > 0$*. Then* (i)–(ii) *in Subsection 2.2 hold for* $p = \infty$*.*

We note that the conditions on $a$ in Theorem 2.5 means that $a$ should belong to a subspace of $M^{\infty}_{(\omega)}$ which is a superspace of $M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}$. Roughly speaking it follows that $a$ should belong to a weighted space $M^{\infty}$ in some variables and to a superspace of $M^{\infty,1}$ in the other variables.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that (i) in Subsection 2.2.

The notations are similar to that in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Furthermore we let

$$\mathcal{E}_{a,\omega}(x,y,\boldsymbol{u}) = \sup_{\zeta,\boldsymbol{\tau}} \mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(X,\xi,\eta,z), \quad \text{and} \quad G_{1,v}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \int_{V_1'} G(\xi,\eta,z)\, d\boldsymbol{\tau},$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ is given by (2.16). By taking $x, y, -\varphi'_{\varrho}(X), \xi, \eta$ as new variables of integration in (2.19), and using the fact that $|\det(\varphi''_{\varrho,\zeta})| \ge \mathsf{d}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(\operatorname{Op}_{\varphi}(a)f,g)| &\le C\mathsf{d}^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2N}} \mathcal{K}_{a,\omega,Cv}(\mathsf{X}) F_1(y,-\eta) F_2(x,\xi)\, d\mathsf{X} \\ &\le C\mathsf{d}^{-1} \|\mathcal{K}_{a,\omega,Gv}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|F_1\|_{L^1} \|F_2\|_{L^1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

where $\mathsf{X} = (x,y,\xi,\eta)$ and

$$\mathcal{K}_{a,\omega,Gv}(\mathsf{X}) = \int_{V_2'} \big((Gv) * (\mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(x,y,\kappa_1,\,\cdot\,))\big)\big((\xi,\eta,0)_{\mathbf{R}^{N+m}} - (\kappa_2,\boldsymbol{u})_{V_1'\oplus V_2'}\big)\, d\boldsymbol{u}.$$

for some continuous functions $\kappa_1 = \kappa_1(x,y,\boldsymbol{u})$ and $\kappa_2 = \kappa_2(x,y,\boldsymbol{u})$.

We need to estimate $\|\mathcal{K}_{a,\omega,Gv}\|_{L^{\infty}}$. By Young's inequality and simple change of variables it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{K}_{a,\omega,Gv}\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \|Gv\|_{L^1} \cdot J_{a,\omega},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{a,\omega} &= \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\mathsf{X}} \Big( \int_{V_2'} \mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(x,y,\kappa_1(x,y,\boldsymbol{u}), (\kappa_2(x,y,\boldsymbol{u}),\boldsymbol{u})_{V_1'\oplus V_2'})\, d\boldsymbol{u} \\ &\le \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\mathsf{X}} \Big( \int_{V_2'} \Big( \sup_{\zeta,\boldsymbol{\tau}} \mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(x,y,\zeta,(\boldsymbol{\tau},\boldsymbol{u})_{V_1'\oplus V_2'})\, d\boldsymbol{u} = \|a\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\mathcal{K}_{a,\omega,Gv}\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \|Gv\|_{L^1} \|a\| \le C \exp(\|\varphi''\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}}) \|a\|. \tag{2.23}$$

A combination of (2.22), (2.23), and the facts that $\|F_1\|_{L^1} = \|f\|_{M^1_{(\omega_1)}}$ and $\|F_2\|_{L^1} = \|g\|_{M^1_{(1/\omega_2)}}$ now gives that the pair $(a,\varphi)$ is admissible, and that (i) in Subsection 2.2 holds. The proof is complete. □

**Corollary 2.6.** *Assume that* $N$*,* $\chi$*,* $\omega_0$*,* $\omega_j$*,* $v$ *and* $\varphi$ *for* $j = 1, 2$ *are the same as in Subsection 2.1. Also assume that* $a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$*, and that one of the following conditions holds:*

(1) $|\det(\varphi''_{\zeta,\zeta})| \ge \mathsf{d}$ *and* $\|a\| < \infty$*, where*

$$\|a\| = \sup_{x,y} \Big( \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} \sup_{\zeta,\xi,\eta} |V_{\chi} a(X,\xi,\eta,z) \omega(X,\xi,\eta,z)|\, dz \Big); \tag{2.24}$$

(2) *$m = n_1$, $|\det(\varphi''_{x,\zeta})| \ge \mathsf{d}$ and $\|a\| < \infty$, where*

$$\|a\| = \sup_{x,y} \Big( \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n_1}} \sup_{\zeta,\eta,z} |V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z)\omega(X,\xi,\eta,z)|\, d\xi \Big); \tag{2.25}$$

(3) *$m = n_2$, $|\det(\varphi''_{y,\zeta})| \ge \mathsf{d}$ and $\|a\| < \infty$, where*

$$\|a\| = \sup_{x,y} \Big( \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n_2}} \sup_{\zeta,\xi,z} |V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z)\omega(X,\xi,\eta,z)|\, d\eta \Big). \tag{2.26}$$

*Then the* (i)–(ii) *in Subsection 2.2 hold for $p = \infty$.*

*Proof.* If (1) is fulfilled, then the result follows by choosing

$$V_1 = V_1' = \{\, (\xi,\eta,0) \in \mathbf{R}^{N+m}\, ;\, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n_2},\ \eta \in \mathbf{R}^{n_1} \,\},$$

$$V_2 = V_2' = \{\, (0,0,\zeta) \in \mathbf{R}^{N+m}\, ;\, \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{m} \,\},$$

$\boldsymbol{\varrho} = \zeta$, $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\xi,\eta)$ and $\boldsymbol{u} = z$ in Theorem 2.5. If instead (2) is fulfilled, then the result follows by choosing

$$V_1 = V_1' = \{\, (0,\eta,z) \in \mathbf{R}^{N+m}\, ;\, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^{n_1},\ z \in \mathbf{R}^{m} \,\},$$

$$V_2 = V_2' = \{\, (\xi,0,0) \in \mathbf{R}^{N+m}\, ;\, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n_2} \,\},$$

$\boldsymbol{\varrho} = x$, $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\eta,z)$ and $\boldsymbol{u} = \xi$ in Theorem 2.5. The result follows similar arguments if instead (3) is fulfilled. The details are left for the reader. □

The set of all $a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ which fulfills that $\|a\| < \infty$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the same as in Theorem 2.5 is neither a modulation space nor a coorbit space of that type which is considered in Subsection 1.2. However it is still a coorbit spaces in the sense of [16,17].

Next we discuss Fourier integral operators where the amplitudes belong to coorbit spaces which are related to the amplitude space in Theorem 2.5.

Assume that $a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$, $\omega, v \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2(N+m)})$, $\omega_j(\mathbf{R}^{2n_j})$ and $\varphi \in C(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ satisfy $\varphi'' \in M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ satisfy (2.2) and (2.3), as before. Also assume that $\omega_0 \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2N})$ satisfies

$$\omega_0(x,y,\xi,\eta) \le C\omega(X,\xi - \varphi'_x(X), \eta - \varphi'_y(X), -\varphi'_\zeta(X)). \tag{2.27}$$

Roughly speaking, the main part of the analysis in Section 2 concerns of finding appropriate estimates of the function $\mathbf{E}$, defined in (2.16).

We need to make some further reformulations of the short-time Fourier transform of the distribution kernel $K_{a,\varphi}$ of $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ in terms of (2.6). Formally, the kernel can be written as

$$K_{a,\varphi}(x,y) = (2\pi)^{-N/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} a(X)e^{i\varphi(X)}\, d\zeta.$$

(Cf. Theorem 3.1.) Hence, if $0 \le \chi_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{n_j})$ for $j = 1,2$ are the same as in Section 2, then it follows by straight-forward computations that

$$(V_{\chi_1\otimes\chi_2} K_{a,\varphi})(x,y,\xi,\eta) = (\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)(\chi_1(\,\cdot\, - y)e^{-i\langle\,\cdot\,,\eta\rangle}), \chi_2(\,\cdot\, - x)e^{i\langle\,\cdot\,,\xi\rangle}). \tag{2.28}$$

By letting $f = \chi_1(\,\cdot\, - y)e^{-i\langle\,\cdot\,,\eta\rangle}$ and $g = \chi_2(\,\cdot\, - x)e^{-i\langle\,\cdot\,,\xi\rangle}$, it follows that

$$|(V_{\chi_1} f(y_1,\eta_1)| = |(V_{\chi_1}\chi_1)(y_1 - y, \eta_1 + \eta)| \tag{2.29}$$

and

$$|(V_{\chi_2} g(x_1,\xi_1)| = |(V_{\chi_2}\chi_2)(x_1 - x, \xi_1 + \xi)|. \tag{2.30}$$

Now we choose $N_0$ large enough such that $\omega_0$ is moderate with respect to $\langle \cdot \rangle^{N_0}$, and we set

$$F(\mathsf{X}) = |(V_{\chi_1}\chi_1)(y,-\eta)(V_{\chi_2}\chi_2)(x,\xi)\langle \mathsf{X}\rangle^{N_0}|.$$

Then $F$ is a continuous function which decreases rapidly to zera at infinity. Furthermore, it follows from (2.29) and (2.30) that

$$|(V_{\chi_1} f(y_1,-\eta_1)(V_{\chi_2} g(x_1,\xi_1)\omega_0(\mathsf{X})| \le CF(\mathsf{X}_1-\mathsf{X})\omega(\mathsf{X}_1), \tag{2.31}$$

where the first inequality follows from the fact that

$$\omega_0(\mathsf{X}) \le C\omega_0(\mathsf{X}_1)\langle \mathsf{X}-\mathsf{X}_1\rangle^{N_0}.$$

By combining (2.2), (2.3), (2.19) and (2.27)–(2.31) we obtain

$$|(V_{\chi_1\otimes\chi_2}K_{a,\varphi})(\mathsf{X})\omega_0(\mathsf{X})| \le C\iint \mathcal{R}_{a,\omega,\varphi}(\mathsf{X}_1,\zeta_1)F(\mathsf{X}_1-\mathsf{X})\,d\zeta_1 d\mathsf{X}_1, \tag{2.32}$$

for some constant $C$.

We have now the following parallel result of Theorem 2.5.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Assume that $N$, $\chi$, $\omega$, $\omega_j$ for $j=0,1,2$, $v$ and $\varphi$ are the same as in Subsection 2.1. Also assume that $p\in[1,\infty]$, and that one of the following conditions hold:*

(1) *$a\in\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ and $\|a\|<\infty$, where*

$$\|a\| = \Big(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^N}\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^m}\sup_z\Big(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^N}|V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z)\omega(X,\xi,\eta,z)|^p\,d\xi d\eta\Big)^{1/p}d\zeta\Big)^p dxdy\Big)^{1/p};$$

(2) *$|\det(\varphi''_{\zeta,\zeta})|\ge \mathsf{d}$ for some $\mathsf{d}>0$, $a\in\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$, and $\|a\|<\infty$, where*

$$\|a\| = \Big(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^N}\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^m}\sup_\zeta\Big(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^N}|V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z)\omega(X,\xi,\eta,z)|^p\,d\xi d\eta\Big)^{1/p}dz\Big)^p dxdy\Big)^{1/p}.$$

*Then the* (i)–(iii) *in Subsection 2.2 hold.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove (i). We only consider the case when (2) is fulfilled. The other case follows by similar arguments and is left for the reader.

Let $G$ be the same as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, $\mathcal{Q}_{a,\omega}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{a,\omega}$ be as in (2.17) and (2.18), and let

$$\mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(X,\xi,\eta,z) = |V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z)\omega(X,\xi,\eta,z)|.$$

It follows from (2.32) and Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}|(V_{\chi_1\otimes\chi_2}K_{a,\varphi})(\mathsf{X})\omega_0(\mathsf{X})| &\le C\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{m+2N}}\big(\mathcal{R}_{a,\omega,\varphi}(\mathsf{X}_1,\zeta_1)F(\mathsf{X}_1-\mathsf{X})^{1/p}\big)F(\mathsf{X}_1-\mathsf{X})^{1/p'}\,d\zeta_1 d\mathsf{X}_1\\ &\le C\|F\|_{L^1}^{1/p'}\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2N}}\Big(\int\mathcal{R}_{a,\omega,\varphi}(\mathsf{X}_1,\zeta_1)\,d\zeta_1\Big)^p F(\mathsf{X}_1-\mathsf{X})\,d\mathsf{X}_1\Big)^{1/p},\end{aligned}$$

where $\|F\|_{L^1}$ is finite, since $F$ is rapidly decreasing to zero at infinity. By letting $C_\varphi = C\exp(C\|\varphi''\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}})$ for some large constant $C$, and applying the $L^p$ norm and Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|K\|^p_{M^p_{(\omega_0)}} &\le C_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2N}}\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^m}\mathcal{R}_{a,\omega,\varphi}(\mathsf{X},\zeta)\,d\zeta\Big)^p d\mathsf{X}\\ &\le C_1\|G\|^p_{L^1_{(v)}}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2N}}\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^m}\mathcal{Q}_{a,\omega,\varphi}(\mathsf{X},\zeta)\,d\zeta\Big)^p d\mathsf{X} \qquad (2.33)\\ &\le C_\varphi\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2N}}\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^m}\mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(X,\xi-\varphi'_x(X),\eta-\varphi'_y(X),-\varphi'_\zeta(X))\,d\zeta\Big)^p d\mathsf{X}.\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_1$. It follows now from Minkowski's inequality that the latter integral can be estimated by

$$\iint_{\mathbf{R}^N}\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^m}\Big(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^N}\mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(X,\xi-\varphi'_x(X),\eta-\varphi'_y(X),-\varphi'_\zeta(X))^p\,d\xi d\eta\Big)^{1/p}d\zeta\Big)^p dxdy$$
$$=\iint_{\mathbf{R}^N}\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^m}\Big(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^N}\mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(X,\xi,\eta,-\varphi'_\zeta(X))^p\,d\xi d\eta\Big)^{1/p}d\zeta\Big)^p dxdy.$$

By letting $C_\varphi = C_2\exp(C_2\|\varphi''\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}})$, taking $\xi,\eta,-\varphi'_\zeta(X),x,y$ as new variables of integration, and using the fact that $|\det(\varphi''_{\zeta,\zeta})|\ge \mathsf{d}$, we get for some function $\kappa$ that

$$\|K\|^p_{M^p_{(\omega_0)}}\le \frac{C_\varphi}{\mathsf{d}}\iint_{\mathbf{R}^N}\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^m}\Big(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^N}\mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(x,y,\kappa(x,y,z),\xi,\eta,z)^p\,d\xi d\eta\Big)^{1/p}dz\Big)^p dxdy$$
$$\le \frac{C_\varphi}{\mathsf{d}}\iint_{\mathbf{R}^N}\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^m}\sup_\zeta\Big(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^N}\mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(x,y,\zeta,\xi,\eta,z)^p\,d\xi d\eta\Big)^{1/p}dz\Big)^p dxdy$$
$$=C_\varphi\|a\|^p.$$

This proves the assertion □

We also have the following result parallel to Theorem 2.7.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Assume that* $N$, $\chi$, $\omega$, $\omega_j$, $v$, $\varphi$, $V_j$, $V'_j$, $\boldsymbol\varrho$ $\boldsymbol\tau$ *and* $\boldsymbol u$ *for* $j=0,1,2$ *are the same as in Subsection 2.1. Also assume that* $p\in[1,\infty]$, $a\in\mathscr S'(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ *fulfills* $\|a\|<\infty$, *where*

$$\|a\|=\int_{V'_2}\Big(\iint_{V_1\times V'_1}\Big(\sup_{\boldsymbol\varrho\in V_2}|V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z)\omega(X,\xi,\eta,z)|\Big)^p d\boldsymbol t d\boldsymbol\tau\Big)^{1/p}d\boldsymbol u\,,$$

*and that* $|\det(\varphi''_{\boldsymbol\varrho,\zeta})|\ge\mathsf{d}$. *Then* (i)–(iii) *in Subsection 2.2 hold.*

We note that the norm estimate on $a$ in Theorem 2.8 means that $a\in\boldsymbol\Theta^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$ with $\mathsf p=(\infty,p,p,1)$ and $\overline V=(V_2,V_1,V'_1,V'_2)$. The proof of Theorem 2.8 is based on Theorem 2.5 and the following result which generalizes Theorem 2.7 in the case $p=1$.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Assume that* $N$, $\chi$, $\omega$, $\omega_j$, $v$, $\varphi$, $V_j$, $V'_j$, $\boldsymbol\varrho$ $\boldsymbol\tau$ *and* $\boldsymbol u$ *for* $j=0,1,2$ *are the same as in Subsection 2.1. Also assume that* $a\in\mathscr S'(\mathbf{R}^{N+m})$ *satisfies* $\|a\|<\infty$, *where*

$$\|a\|=\iint_{\mathbf{R}^m\times V_1}\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\boldsymbol\varrho\in V_2}\Big(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^N}|V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z)\omega(X,\xi,\eta,z)|\,d\xi d\eta\Big)\,d\boldsymbol t dz\,,$$

*and that* $|\det(\varphi''_{\boldsymbol\varrho,\zeta})|\ge\mathsf{d}$. *Then* (i)–(iii) *in Subsection 2.2 hold for* $p=1$.

*Proof.* We use the same notations as in Subsection 2.1 and the proof of Theorem 2.1. It follows from (2.33) that

$$\|K\|_{M^1_{(\omega_0)}}\le C_\varphi\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2N+m}}\mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(X,\xi-\varphi'_x(X),\eta-\varphi'_y(X),-\varphi'_\zeta(X))\,d\zeta d\mathsf{X}$$
$$=C_\varphi\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2N+m}}\mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(X,\xi,\eta,-\varphi'_\zeta(X))\,d\zeta d\mathsf{X}$$
$$=C_1C_\varphi\iint_{V_1\times V_2}\Big(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^N}\mathbf{E}_{a,\omega}(X,\xi,\eta,-\varphi'_\zeta(X))\,d\xi d\eta\Big)d\boldsymbol t d\boldsymbol\varrho.$$

By taking $\boldsymbol t$ and $-\varphi'_\zeta$ as new variables of integration in the outer doubble integral, and using the fact that $|\det(\varphi''_{\boldsymbol\varrho,\zeta})| \ge \mathsf d$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|K\|_{M^1_{(\omega_0)}} &\le C_1 C_\varphi \mathsf d^{-1} \int_{\mathbf R^m} \Big( \int_{V_1} \Big( \iint_{\mathbf R^N} \mathbf E_{a,\omega}(X,\xi,\eta,z)\, d\xi d\eta \Big) d\boldsymbol t \Big) dz \\
&\le C_1 C_\varphi \mathsf d^{-1} \int_{\mathbf R^m} \Big( \int_{V_1} \sup_{\boldsymbol\varrho\in V_2} \Big( \iint_{\mathbf R^N} \mathbf E_{a,\omega}(X,\xi,\eta,z)\, d\xi d\eta \Big) d\boldsymbol t \Big) dz \\
&= C_1 C_\varphi \mathsf d^{-1} \|a\|.
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the result. ☐

*Proof of Theorem 2.8.* We start to consider the case $p = 1$. By Proposition 2.9 (i), Minkowski's inequality and substitution of variables we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|K\|_{M^1_{(\omega_0)}} &\le C_\varphi \mathsf d^{-1} \int_{\mathbf R^m} \Big( \int_{V_1} \sup_{\boldsymbol\varrho\in V_2} \Big( \iint_{\mathbf R^N} \mathbf E_{a,\omega}(X,\xi,\eta,z)\, d\xi d\eta \Big) d\boldsymbol t \Big) dz \\
&\le C_\varphi \mathsf d^{-1} \iiiint_{V_1\times \mathbf R^{N+m}} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\boldsymbol\varrho\in V_2} \mathbf E_{a,\omega}(X,\xi,\eta,z)\, d\boldsymbol t d\xi d\eta dz \\
&= C_1 C_\varphi \int_{V_2'} \Big( \iint_{V_1\times V_1'} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\boldsymbol\varrho\in V_2} \mathbf E_{a,\omega}(X,\xi,\eta,z)\, d\boldsymbol t d\boldsymbol\tau \Big)\, d\boldsymbol u,
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $C_1$, and the result follows in this case.

Next we consider the case $p = \infty$. By Theorem 2.5 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|K\|_{M^\infty_{(\omega_0)}} &\le C_\varphi \sup_{x,y} \Big( \int_{V_2'} \sup_{\zeta,\boldsymbol\tau} \big( \mathbf E_{a,\omega}(X,\xi,\eta,z) \big)\, d\boldsymbol u \Big) \\
&\le C_\varphi \int_{V_2'} \Big( \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{(\boldsymbol t,\boldsymbol\tau)\in V_1\times V_1'} \big( \sup_{\boldsymbol\varrho\in V_2} \mathbf E_{a,\omega}(X,\xi,\eta,z) \big) \Big)\, d\boldsymbol u,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the result follows in this case as well.

The theorem now follows for general $p$ by interpolation, using Proposition 1.9. The proof is complete. ☐

By interpolating Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.8 we get the following result.

**Theorem 2.10.** *Assume that* $N$, $\chi$, $\omega$, $\omega_j$, $v$, $\varphi$, $V_j$, $V_j'$, $\boldsymbol\varrho$ $\boldsymbol\tau$ *and* $\boldsymbol u$ *for* $j = 0, 1, 2$ *are the same as in Subsection 2.1. Also assume that* $p, q \in [1, \infty]$, $a \in \mathscr S'(\mathbf R^{N+m})$ *fulfills* $\|a\| < \infty$, *where*

$$
\|a\| = \int_{V_2'} \Big( \int_{V_1'} \Big( \int_{V_1} \Big( \sup_{\boldsymbol\varrho\in V_2} |V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z)\omega(X,\xi,\eta,z)| \Big)^p d\boldsymbol t \Big)^{q/p} d\boldsymbol\tau \Big)^{1/q} d\boldsymbol u\, ,
$$

*and that in addition* $n_1 = n_2$ *and* (0.4) *and* $|\det(\varphi''_{\boldsymbol\varrho,\zeta})| \ge \mathsf d$ *hold for some* $\mathsf d > 0$. *Then the following is true:*

(1) *if* $p' \le q \le p$ *and* $p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty]$ *satisfy*

$$
q \le p_1', p_2 \le p, \qquad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p_1'} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}, \tag{2.34}
$$

*with strict inequalities in* (2.34), *then the definition of* $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)$ *extends uniquely to a continuous map from* $M^{p_1}_{(\omega_1)}$ *to* $M^{p_2}_{(\omega_2)}$;

(2) *if* $q \le \min(p, p')$, *then* $\operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a) \in \mathscr I_p(M^2_{(\omega_1)}, M^2_{(\omega_2)})$.

We note that the norm estimate on $a$ in Theorem 2.8 means that $a \in \boldsymbol\Theta^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$ with $\mathsf p = (\infty, p, q, 1)$ and $\overline V = (V_2, V_1, V_1', V_2')$.

*Proof.* In order to prove (1) we note that the result holds when $(p,q) = (\infty,1)$ or $q = p$, in view of Theorems 2.1 and 2.8. Next assume that $q = p'$ for $p \ge 2$, and set $\mathsf{p}_1 = (\infty,\infty,1,1)$ and $\mathsf{p} = (\infty,2,2,1)$. Then it follows from Theorem 2.1 and 2.8 that the bilinear form

$$T(a,f) \equiv \operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a)f$$

is continuous from

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}_1}_{(\omega)} \times M^p_{(\omega_1)} \quad \text{to} \quad M^p_{(\omega_2)}, \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

and from

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}_2}_{(\omega)} \times M^2_{(\omega_1)} \quad \text{to} \quad M^2_{(1/\omega_2)}.$$

By interpolation, using Theorem 4.4.1 in [3], Proposition 1.5 and Proposition 1.9, it follows that if $q = p' < 2$, then $T$ extends uniquely to a continuous map from

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)} \times M^{p_1}_{(\omega_1)} \quad \text{to} \quad M^{p_2}_{(\omega_2)},$$

when $p' < p_1 = p_2 < p$. This proves (1) when $q = p$ or $q = p'$.

For $q \in (p',p)$, the result now follows by interpolation between the case $q = p'$ and $p_1 = p_2 = p_0$ where $p' < p_0 < p$, and the case $q = p$ and $p_1' = p_2 = p$. In fact, by interpolation it follows that $T$ extens to a continuous map from

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)} \times M^{p_1}_{(\omega_1)} \quad \text{to} \quad M^{p_2}_{(\omega_2)}$$

when

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{p'} + \frac{\theta}{p}, \qquad \frac{1}{p_1} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p'}, \qquad \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p}.$$

It is now straight-forward to control that these conditions are equivalent with those conditions in (1), and the assertion follows.

In order to prove (2), it is no restriction to assume that $q = \min(p,p')$. If $p = \infty$ and $q = 1$, then the result is a consequence of Theorem 2.1. If instead $1 \le q = p \le 2$, then the result follows from Theorem 2.8. The remaining case $2 \le p = q' \le \infty$ now follows by interpolation between the cases $(p,q) = (2,2)$ and $(p,q) = (\infty,1)$, using (1.8) or (1.9), and the interpolation properties in Section 1.2. The proof is complete. □

## 3. Consequences

In this section we list some consequences of the results in Section 2. In Subsection 3.1 we consider Fourier integral operators where the amplitudes depend on two variables only. In Subsection 3.2 we consider Fourier integral operators with smooth amplitudes.

### 3.1. **Fourier integral operators with amplitudes depending on two variables.**

We start to discuss Schatten-von Neumann operators for Fourier integral operators with symbols in $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ and phase functions in $M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{3n})$, for appropriate weight functions $\omega$ and $v$. We assume here that the phase functions depend on $x,y,\zeta \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and that the amplitudes only depend on the $x$ and $\zeta$ variables and are independent of the $y$ variable. Note that here we have assumed that the numbers $n_1$, $n_2$ and $m$ in Section 2 are equal to $n$. As in the preivous section, we use the notation $X,Y,Z,\dots$ for tripples of the form $(x,y,\zeta) \in \mathbf{R}^{3n}$.

The first aim is to establish a weighted version of Theorem 2.5 in [9]. To this purpose, we need to transfer the conditions for the weight and phase functions from Section 2. Namely here and in the following we assume that $\varphi \in C(\mathbf{R}^{3n})$,

$\omega_0, \omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$, $v_1 \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $v_2 \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ and $v \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{6n})$. A condition on the phase function is

$$|\det(\varphi''_{y,\zeta}(X))| \ge \mathsf{d}, \qquad X = (x,y,\zeta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n} \tag{3.1}$$

for some constant $\mathsf{d} > 0$, and the conditions in (2.3) in Subsection 2.1 are modified into:

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_0(x,y,\xi,\varphi'_y(X)) &\le C\omega(x,\zeta,\xi-\varphi'_x(X),-\varphi'_\zeta(X)),\\
\frac{\omega_2(x,\xi)}{\omega_1(y,-\eta)} &\le C\omega_0(x,y,\xi,\eta),\\
\omega_0(x,y,\xi,\eta_1+\eta_2) &\le C\omega_0(x,y,\xi,\eta_1)v_1(\eta_2),\\
\omega(x,\zeta,\xi_1+\xi_2,z_1+z_2) &\le \omega(x,\zeta,\xi_1,z_1)v_2(\xi_2,z_2),\\
v(X,\xi,\eta,z) &= v_1(\eta)v_2(\xi,z), \qquad x,y,z,z_j,\xi,\xi_j,\eta,\zeta \in \mathbf{R}^n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3$'$}$$

For conveniency we also set $\operatorname{Op}_{1,0,\varphi}(a) = \operatorname{Op}_\varphi(a_1)$ when $a_1(x,y,\zeta) = a(x,\zeta)$.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that $p \in [1,\infty]$, $\mathsf{d} > 0$, $v \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{6n})$ is submultiplicative, $\omega_0, \omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$ and that $\varphi \in C(\mathbf{R}^{3n})$ are such that $\varphi$ is real-valued, $\varphi^{(\alpha)} \in M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}$ for all multi-indices $\alpha$ such that $|\alpha| = 2$, and* (3.1) *and* (2.3)$'$ *are fulfilled for some constant $C$. Then the following is true:*

1. *the map*
$$a \mapsto K_{a,\varphi}(x,y) \equiv \int a(x,\zeta)e^{i\varphi(x,y,\zeta)}\, d\zeta,$$
*from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ to $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ extends uniquely to a continuous map from $M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ to $M^p_{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$;*
2. *the map $a \mapsto \operatorname{Op}_{1,0,\varphi}(a)$ from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ to $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n), \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n))$ extends uniquely to a continuous map from $M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ to $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n), \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n))$;*
3. *if $a \in M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, then the definition of $\operatorname{Op}_{1,0,\varphi}(a)$ extends uniquely to a continuous operator from $M^{p'}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Furthermore, for some constant $C$ it holds*
$$\|\operatorname{Op}_{1,0,\varphi}(a)\|_{M^{p'}_{(\omega_1)} \to M^p_{(\omega_2)}} \le C\mathsf{d}^{-1}\exp(\|\varphi''\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}})\|a\|\,;$$
4. *if $a \in M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, then the definition of $\operatorname{Op}_{1,0,\varphi}(a)$ from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ extends uniquely to a continuous operator from $M^p_{(\omega_1)}$ to $M^p_{(\omega_2)}$;*
5. *if $q \le \min(p,p')$, $a \in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, and in addition* (0.4) *holds, then $\operatorname{Op}_{1,0,\varphi}(a) \in \mathscr{I}_p(M^2_{(\omega_1)}, M^2_{(\omega_2)})$.*

*Proof.* We start to prove the continuity assertions. Let $a_1(x,y,\zeta) = a(x,\zeta)$, and let

$$\widetilde{\omega}(x,y,\zeta,\xi,\eta,z) = \omega(x,\zeta,\xi,z)v_1(\eta).$$

By Proposition 1.11 it follows that prove that $a_1 \in \mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\widetilde{\omega})}(\overline{V})$ with $\mathsf{p} = (\infty,p,p,1)$ and $\overline{V} = (V_2, V_1, V_1', V_2')$. Hence Theorem 2.8 shows that it suffices to prove that (2.3) holds after $\omega$ has been replaced by $\widetilde{\omega}$.

By (2.3)$'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_0(x,y,\xi,\eta) &\le C\omega_0(x,y,\xi,\varphi'_y(X))v_1(\eta-\varphi'_y(X))\\
&\le C^2\omega(x,\zeta,\xi-\varphi'(X),-\varphi'_\zeta(X))v_1(\eta-\varphi'_y(X))\\
&= C^2\widetilde{\omega}(x,y,\zeta,\xi-\varphi'(X),\eta-\varphi'_y(X),-\varphi'_\zeta(X)).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that the first two inequalities in (2.3) hold. Furthermore, since $v_1$ is submultiplicative we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\omega}(X,\xi_1+\xi_2,\eta_1+\eta_2,z_1+z_2) &= \omega(x,\zeta,\xi_1+\xi_2,z_1+z_2)v_1(\eta_1+\eta_2)\\ &\le C\omega(x,\zeta,\xi_1,z_1)v_2(\xi_2,z_2)v_1(\eta_1)v(\eta_2) = C\widetilde{\omega}(X,\xi_1,\eta_1,z_1)v(\xi_2,\eta_2,z_2),\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C$. This proves the last inequality in (2.3), and the continuity assertions follow.

It remains to prove the uniqueness. If $p<\infty$, then the uniqueness follows from the fact that $\mathscr{S}$ is dense in $M^p_{(\omega)}$.

Next we consider the case $p=\infty$. Assume that $a\in M^1_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ and $b\in M^1_{(1/\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, and let $\widetilde{\varphi}(x,y,\xi)=-\varphi(x,\xi,y)$. Since (3.1) also holds when $\varphi$ is replaced by $\widetilde{\varphi}$, the first part of the proof shows that $K_{b,\widetilde{\varphi}}\in M^1_{(1/\omega)}$. Furthermore, by straight-forward computations we have

$$(K_{a,\varphi},b)=(a,K_{b,\widetilde{\varphi}}). \tag{3.2}$$

In view of Proposition 1.1 (3), it follows that the right-hand side in (3.2) makes sense if, more generally, $a$ is an arbitrary element in $M^\infty_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, and then

$$|(a,K_{b,\widetilde{\varphi}})|\le C\mathsf{d}^{-1}\|a\|_{M^\infty_{(\omega)}}\|b\|_{M^1_{(1/\omega_0)}}\exp(C\|\varphi''\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}}),$$

for some constant $C$ which is independent of $\mathsf{d}$, $a\in M^\infty_{(\omega)}$ and $b\in M^1_{(1/\omega_0)}$.

Hence, by letting $K_{a,\varphi}$ be defined as (3.2) when $a\in M^\infty$, it follows that $a\mapsto K_{a,\varphi}$ on $M^1$ extends to a continuous map on $M^\infty$. Furthermore, since $\mathscr{S}$ is dense in $M^\infty$ with respect to the weak$^*$ topology, it follows that this extension is unique. We have therefore proved the theorem for $p\in\{1,\infty\}$. □

Finally we remark that the results in Section 2 also give Theorem 3.1′, which concerns Fourier integral operators of the form

$$\operatorname{Op}_{t_1,t_2,\varphi}(a)f(x)\equiv\iint a(t_1x+t_2y,\xi)f(y)e^{i\varphi(t_1x+t_2y,-t_2x+t_1y,\xi)}\,dyd\xi.$$

It is then natural to assume that the conditions (3.1)′ is replaced by

$$t_1^2+t_2^2=1,\qquad |\det(\varphi''_{y,\xi}(X))|\ge\mathsf{d}, \tag*{(3.1)$'$}$$

and

(2.3)″

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega_0(t_1x+t_2y,-t_2x+t_1y,t_1\xi+t_2\varphi'_y(X),-t_2\xi+t_1\varphi'_y(X))\\ &\qquad\le C\omega(x,\zeta,\xi-\varphi'_x(X),-\varphi'_\zeta(X))\\ &\frac{\omega_2(x,\xi)}{\omega_1(y,-\eta)}\le C\omega_0(x,y,\xi,\eta),\\ &\omega_0(x,y,\xi+t_2\eta_2,\eta_1+t_1\eta_2)\le\omega_0(x,y,\xi,\eta_1)v_1(\eta_2)\\ &\omega(x,\zeta,\xi_1+\xi_2,z_1+z_2)\le\omega(x,\zeta,\xi_1,z_1)v_2(\xi_2,z_2),\\ &v(X,\xi,\eta,z)=v_1(\eta)v_2(\xi,z),\qquad x,y,z,z_j,\xi,\xi_j,\eta,\zeta\in\mathbf{R}^n.\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.1′.** *Assume that $p\in[1,\infty]$, $\mathsf{d}>0$, $v\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{6n})$ is submultiplicative, $\omega_0,\omega\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$ and that $\varphi\in C(\mathbf{R}^{3n})$ are such that $\varphi$ is real-valued, $\varphi^{(\alpha)}\in M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}$ for all multi-indices $\alpha$ such that $|\alpha|=2$, and (3.1)′ and (2.3)″ are fulfilled for some constants $t_1$, $t_2$ and $C$. Then the following is true:*

(1) *the map*

$$a \mapsto K_{a,\varphi}(x,y) \equiv \int a(t_1x+t_2y,\zeta)e^{i\varphi(t_1x+t_2y,-t_2x+t_1y,\zeta)}\,d\zeta,$$

*from* $\mathscr S(\mathbf R^{2n})$ *to* $\mathscr S'(\mathbf R^{2n})$ *extends uniquely to a continuous map from* $M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf R^{2n})$ *to* $M^p_{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf R^{2n})$*;*

(2) *the map* $a \mapsto \operatorname{Op}_{t_1,t_2,\varphi}(a)$ *from* $\mathscr S(\mathbf R^{2n})$ *to* $\mathscr L(\mathscr S(\mathbf R^n),\mathscr S'(\mathbf R^n))$ *extends uniquely to a continuous map from* $M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf R^{2n})$ *to* $\mathscr L(\mathscr S(\mathbf R^n),\mathscr S'(\mathbf R^n))$*;*

(3) *if* $a\in M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf R^{2n})$*, then the definition of* $\operatorname{Op}_{t_1,t_2,\varphi}(a)$ *extends uniquely to a continuous operator from* $M^{p'}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf R^n)$ *to* $M^p_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf R^n)$*. Furthermore, for some constant* $C$ *it holds*

$$\|\operatorname{Op}_{t_1,t_2,\varphi}(a)\|_{M^{p'}_{(\omega_1)}\to M^p_{(\omega_2)}} \le C\mathsf{d}^{-1}\exp(\|\varphi''\|_{M^{\infty,1}_{(v)}})\|a\|\,;$$

(4) *if* $a\in M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf R^{2n})$*, then the definition of* $\operatorname{Op}_{t_1,t_2,\varphi}(a)$ *from* $\mathscr S(\mathbf R^n)$ *to* $\mathscr S'(\mathbf R^n)$ *extends uniquely to a continuous operator from* $M^p_{(\omega_1)}$ *to* $M^p_{(\omega_2)}$*;*

(5) *if* $q\le\min(p,p')$*,* $a\in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf R^{2n})$*, and in addition* (0.4) *holds, then* $\operatorname{Op}_{t_1,t_2,\varphi}(a)\in\mathscr I_p(M^2_{(\omega_1)},M^2_{(\omega_2)})$*.*

*Proof.* By letting

$$x_1 = t_1x+t_2y,\quad y_1=-t_2x+t_1y$$

as new coordinates, it follows that we may assume that $t_1=1$ and $t_2=0$, and then the result agrees with Theorem 3.1. The proof is complete. □

3.2. **Fourier integral operators with smooth amplitudes.** Next we apply Theorem 2.10 to Fourier integral operators with smooth amplitudes. We recall that the condition on $a$ in Theorem 2.10 means exactly that $a\in\boldsymbol\Theta^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$ with $\mathsf p=(\infty,p,q,1)$ and $\overline V=(V_2,V_1,V_1',V_2')$. In what follows we consider the case when $n_1=n_2=m=n$ and

$$V_1=V_1'=\{\,(x,0,\zeta)\in\mathbf R^{3n}\,;\,x,\zeta\in\mathbf R^n\,\},\quad\text{and}\quad V_2=V_2'=\{\,(0,y,0)\in\mathbf R^{3n}\,;\,y\in\mathbf R^n\,\}. \tag{3.3}$$

However, the analysis presented here also holds without these restrictions. The details are left for the reader. We are especially concerned with spaces of amplitudes of the form

$$C^{N,p}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf R^{3n}) = \{\,a\in C^N(\mathbf R^{3n})\,;\,\|a\|_{C^{N,p}_{(\omega)}}<\infty\,\},$$

where $N\ge$ is an integer, $\omega\in\mathscr P(\mathbf R^{3n})$ and

$$\|a\|_{C^{N,p}_{(\omega)}} \equiv \sum_{|\alpha|\le N}\Big(\iint_{\mathbf R^{2n}}\|a(x,\,\cdot\,,\zeta)\omega(x,\,\cdot\,,\zeta)\|^p_{L^\infty}\,dxd\zeta\Big)^{1/p}.$$

We also set

$$C^{\infty,p}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf R^{3n}) = \cap_{N\ge0}C^{N,p}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf R^{3n})$$

The following proposition links $C^{N,p}_{(\omega)}$ with $\boldsymbol\Theta^{\mathsf p}_{(\omega)}(\overline V)$:

**Proposition 3.2.** *Assume that* (3.3) *is fulfilled,* $N\ge0$ *is an integer,* $\overline V=(V_2,V_1,V_1',V_2)$*,* $\mathsf p=(\infty,p,q,1)$*,* $\mathsf p_1=(\infty,p,1,1)$ *and that* $\mathsf p_2=(\infty,p,\infty,\infty)$*. Also assume that* $\omega\in\mathscr P(\mathbf R^{3n})$*, and let*

$$\omega_s(X,\xi,\eta,z)=\omega(X)\langle\xi,\eta,z\rangle^s,\quad s\in\mathbf R.$$

*If* $s_1 < -2n/q'$ *when* $q > 1$ *and* $s_1 \le 0$ *when* $q = 1$, *and* $s_2 > n(q+2)/q$, *then the following embedding holds:*

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}_1}_{(\omega_N)} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega_N)} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}_2}_{(\omega_N)} \tag{3.4}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}_2}_{(\omega_{N+s_2})} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega_N)} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}_1}_{(\omega_{N+s_1})} \tag{3.5}$$

*and*

$$C^{N+3n+1,p}_{(\omega)} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}_1}_{(\omega_N)} \hookrightarrow C^{N,p}_{(\omega)}. \tag{3.6}$$

For the proof it is convenient to let $\mathscr{P}_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the set of all $\omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\omega^{(\alpha)}/\omega$ is bounded for all multi-indices $\alpha$.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Assume that* $\mathsf{p} = (p,q,r,s) \in [1,\infty]^4$, *and that* $N \ge 0$ *is an integer. Then the following is true:*

(1) *if* $\omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, *then it exists an element* $\omega_0 \in \mathscr{P}_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *such that*

$$C^{-1}\omega_0 \le \omega \le C\omega_0, \tag{3.7}$$

*for some constant* $C$;

(2) *if* $\omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, $\widetilde{\omega}_j \in \mathscr{P}_0(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ *for* $j = 1,2$ *are such that* $\widetilde{\omega}_1(x,\xi) = \widetilde{\omega}_1(x)$ *and* $\widetilde{\omega}2(x,\xi) = \widetilde{\omega}_2(\xi)$, *then the mappings*

$$f \mapsto \widetilde{\omega}_1 \cdot f$$

*and*

$$f \mapsto \widetilde{\omega}_2(D) f$$

*are homeomorphisms from* $\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\widetilde{\omega}_1\,\omega)}(\overline{V})$ *and from* $\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\widetilde{\omega}_2\,\omega)}(\overline{V})$ *respectively to* $\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}(\overline{V})$. *Furthermore, if* $\omega_{N_1,N_2}(x,\xi) = \omega(x,\xi)\langle x\rangle^{N_2}\langle\xi\rangle^{N_1}$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega_{N_1,N_2})}(\overline{V}) &= \{\, f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)\,;\, x^\alpha\partial^\beta f \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}(\overline{V}),\ |\alpha| \le N_2,\ |\beta| \le N_1 \,\}\\ &= \{\, f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)\,;\, f,\, x_j^{N_2} f,\, D_k^{N_1} f,\, x_j^{N_2} D_k^{N_1} f \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}(\overline{V}),\ 1 \le j,k \le n \,\};\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

(3) *if* $\omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{6n})$ *and* $\omega_0 \in \mathscr{P}_0(\mathbf{R}^{6n})$ *are such that* $\omega(X,\xi,\eta,z) = \omega(X)$ *and* $\omega_0(X,\xi,\eta,z) = \omega_0(X)$, *then the map* $a \mapsto \omega_0 \cdot a$ *is a bijection from* $C^{N,p}_{(\omega_0\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{3n})$ *to* $C^{N,p}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{3n})$.

*Proof.* The assertion (1) follows from Lemma 1.2 in [41] The first part of assertion (2) is a consequence of Theorem 3.2 when $\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}(\overline{V})$ is a modulation space. The general case follows by similar arguments as in the proof of that theorem. We omit the details. The assertion (3) is a straight-forward consequence of the definitions.

It remains to prove (3.8). It is convenient to set

$$\sigma_{N_1,N_2}(x,\xi) = \langle x\rangle^{N_2}\langle\xi\rangle^{N_1}.$$

Furthermore, let $M_0$ be the set of all $f \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}$ such that $x^\beta\partial^\alpha f \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}$ when $|\alpha| \le N_1$ and $|\beta| \le N_2$, and let $\widetilde{M_0}$ be the set of all $f \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}$ such that $x_j^{N_2}\partial_k^{N_1} f \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}$ for $j,k = 1,\dots,N$. We shall prove that $M_0 = \widetilde{M_0} = \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\sigma_{N_1,N_2}\omega)}$. Obviously, $M_0 \subseteq \widetilde{M_0}$. By the first part of (2) it follows that $\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\sigma_{N_1,N_2}\omega)} \subseteq M_0$. The result therefore follows if it is proved that $\widetilde{M_0} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\sigma_{N_1,N_2}\omega)}$.

In order to prove this, assume first that $N_1 = N$, $N_2 = 0$, $f \in \widetilde{M_0}$, and choose open sets

$$\Omega_0 = \{\, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^m\,;\, |\xi| < 2 \,\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_j = \{\, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^m\,;\, 1 < |\xi| < n|\xi_j| \,\}.$$

Then $\cup_{j=0}^{n}\Omega_j = \mathbf{R}^n$, and there are non-negative functions $\varphi_0,\dots,\varphi_n$ in $S_0^0$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\varphi_j \subseteq \Omega_j$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{n}\varphi_j = 1$. In particular, $f = \sum_{j=0}^{n} f_j$ when $f_j = \varphi_j(D)f$. The result follows if we prove that $f_j \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\sigma_{N,0}\omega)}$ for every $j$.

Now set $\psi_0(\xi) = \sigma_N(\xi)\varphi_0(\xi)$ and $\psi_j(\xi) = \xi_j^{-N}\sigma_N(\xi)\varphi_j(\xi)$ when $j = 1,\dots,n$. Then $\psi_j \in S_0^0$ for every $j$. Hence the first part of (2) shows that gives

$$\|f_j\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\sigma_{N,0}\omega)}} \le C_1\|\sigma_N(D)f_j\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}}$$

$$= C_1\|\psi_j(D)\partial_j^N f\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}} \le C_2\|\partial_j^N f\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}} < \infty$$

and

$$\|f_0\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\sigma_{N,0}\omega)}} \le C_1\|\sigma_N(D)f_0\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}} = C_1\|\psi_0(D)f\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}} \le C_2\|f\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}} < \infty$$

for some constants $C_1$ and $C_2$. This proves that

$$\|f\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\sigma_{N,0}\omega)}} \le C\Big(\|f\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}} + \sum_{j=1}^{N}\|\partial_j^N f\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}}\Big), \tag{3.9}$$

and the result follows in this case.

If we instead split up $f$ into $\sum \varphi_j f$, then similar arguments show that

$$\|f\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\sigma_{0,N}\omega)}} \le C\Big(\|f\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}} + \sum_{k=1}^{N}\|x_k^N f\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega)}}\Big), \tag{3.10}$$

and the result follows in the case $N_1 = 0$ and $N_2 = N$ from this estimate.

The general case follows now if combine (3.9) with (3.10), which proves (2). The proof is complete. □

*Proof of Proposition 3.2.* The first embeddings in (3.4) follows immediately from Proposition 1.10. Next we prove (3.5). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be chosen such that $s_2 - 2\varepsilon > n(q+1)/q$, $\mathbf{E}_{a,\omega_N}$ be as in Section 2, and set

$$F_{a,\omega_N}(\xi,\eta,z) = \Big(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \sup_{y\in\mathbf{R}^n} \mathbf{E}_{a,\omega_N}(X,\xi,\eta,z)^p\, dx d\zeta\Big)^{1/p}.$$

Then Hölder's inequality gives

$$\|a\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega_N)}} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\Big(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} F_{a,\omega_N}(\xi,\eta,z)^q\, d\xi dz\Big)^{1/q} d\eta$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\Big(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} F_{a,\omega_{N+s_2}}(\xi,\eta,z)^q \langle \xi,\eta,z\rangle^{-s_2 q}\, d\xi dz\Big)^{1/q} d\eta$$

$$\le \int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\Big(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} F_{a,\omega_{N+s_2}}(\xi,\eta,z)^q \langle \xi,z\rangle^{-(2n+\varepsilon)}\, d\xi dz\Big)^{1/q} \langle\eta\rangle^{-(n+\varepsilon)}\, d\eta$$

$$\le C\|F_{a,\omega_{N+s_2}}\|_{L^\infty} = C\|a\|_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}_2}_{(\omega_{N+s_2})}},$$

where

$$C = \Big(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \langle\xi,z\rangle^{-(2n+\varepsilon)}\, d\xi dz\Big)^{1/q}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\langle\eta\rangle^{-(n+\varepsilon)}\, d\eta < \infty.$$

This proves the first inclusion in (3.5). The second inclusion follows by similar arguments. The details are omitted.

Next we prove (3.6). By Lemma 3.3 it follows that we may assume that $\omega = 1$ and $N = 0$. By Remark 1.3 (2) we have

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}_1} \subseteq M^{\infty,1} \subseteq C \cap L^\infty.$$

Furthermore, if $\chi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{3n})$ is such that $\chi(0) = (2\pi)^{-3n/2}$, then it follows by Fourier's inversion formula that

$$a(X) = \iiint_{\mathbf{R}^{3n}} V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z) e^{i(\langle x,\xi\rangle + \langle y,\eta\rangle + \langle \zeta,z\rangle)}\, d\xi d\eta dz.$$

Hence Minkowski's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\|a\|_{C^{0,p}} &= \Big( \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \big( \sup_{y\in\mathbf{R}^n} |a(X)|\big)^p\, dxd\zeta \Big)^{1/p} \\
&\le \Big( \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \sup_{y\in\mathbf{R}^n} \Big( \iiint_{\mathbf{R}^{3n}} |V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z)|\, d\xi d\eta dz\Big)^p\, dxd\zeta\Big)^{1/p} \\
&\le \iiint_{\mathbf{R}^{3n}} \Big( \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \sup_{y\in\mathbf{R}^n} |V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z)|^p\, dxd\zeta\Big)^{1/p} d\xi d\eta dz = \|a\|_{\mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}_1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the right embedding in (3.6).

In order to prove the left embedding in (3.6) we observe that

$$|V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z)| \le (2\pi)^{-3n/2} \int |\chi(X_1 - X) a(X_1)|\, dX_1 = (2\pi)^{-3n/2} (|a| * |\check\chi|)(X),$$

which together with Young's inequality give

$$\begin{aligned}
\|a\|_{\mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}_2}} &= \sup_{\xi,\eta,z} \Big( \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \sup_{y\in\mathbf{R}^n} |V_\chi a(X,\xi,\eta,z)|^p\, dxd\zeta\Big)^{1/p} \\
&\le C( \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \sup_{y\in\mathbf{R}^n} (|a| * |\check\chi|)(X)^p\, dxd\zeta\Big)^{1/p} \\
&\le C\|\chi\|_{L^1}( \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \sup_{y\in\mathbf{R}^n} |a(X)|^p\, dxd\zeta\Big)^{1/p} = C\|\chi\|_{L^1}\|a\|_{C^{0,p}},
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C$. Hence if $\omega(X,\xi,\eta,z) = \langle \xi,\eta,z\rangle^{-3n-1}$, then it follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|a\|_{\mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}_1}} &\le C_1 \|\omega^{-1}(D)a\|_{\mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}_1}_{(\omega)}} \le C_2 \sum_{|\alpha|\le 3n+1} \|a^{(\alpha)}\|_{\mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}_1}_{(\omega)}} \\
&\le C_2 \sum_{|\alpha|\le 3n+1} \|a^{(\alpha)}\|_{\mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathsf{p}_2}} \le C_3 \sum_{|\alpha|\le 3n+1} \|a^{(\alpha)}\|_{C^{0,p}} = C_3 \|a\|_{C^{N,p}},
\end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C_1,\dots,C_3$. This proves (3.6) and the result follows. □

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let $N$, $\omega_s$ and $\mathsf{p}$ be as in Proposition 3.2. Then*

$$C^{\infty,p}_{(\omega)} = \cap_{N\ge 0} \Theta^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega_N)}$$

*Remark* 3.5. Similar properties with similar motivations as those in Proposition identities2, Lemma 3.3 and Corollary identities3, and their proofs, also holds when the $\Theta^{\mathsf{p}}_{(\omega_N)}$ spaces and $C^{N,p}_{(\omega)}$ spaces are replaced by the modulation space $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $\omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ and

$$\{\, f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)\, ;\, f^{(\alpha)} \in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n)\, |\alpha| \le N\,\}$$

respectively. (Cf. [41].)

Now we may combine Proposition 3.2 with the results in Section 2 to obtain continuity properties for certain type of Fourier integral operator when acting on modulation spaces. The following result is a consequence of Theorem 2.10 and Proposition 3.2.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Assume that* $n_1 = n_2 = m = n$, $\omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{6n})$ *and* $\widetilde{\omega} \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{3n})$ *satisfy*

$$\omega(X, \xi, \eta, z) = \widetilde{\omega}(X)\langle \xi, \eta, z\rangle^N$$

*for some constant* $N$*, and that* $\chi$*,* $\omega_j$*,* $v$ *and* $\varphi$ *for* $j = 0, 1, 2$ *are the same as in Subsection 2.1. Also assume that* $p \in [1, \infty]$*,* $a \in C^{\infty,p}_{(\widetilde{\omega})}(\mathbf{R}^{3n})$*, and that* $|\det(\varphi''_{y,\zeta})| \ge \mathsf{d}$ *and* (0.4) *hold for some* $\mathsf{d} > 0$*. Then the following is true:*

(1) (i)–(ii) *in Subsection 2.2 holds;*

(2) $\mathrm{Op}_\varphi(a) \in \mathscr{I}_p(M^2_{(\omega_1)}, M^2_{(\omega_2)})$.

## References

[1] K. Asada, D. Fujiwara *On some oscillatory integral transformations in* $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, Japan. J. Math. **4** (1978).

[2] W. Baoxiang, H. Chunyan *Frequency-uniform decomposition method for the generalized BO, KdV and NLS equations*, Preprint, (2007).

[3] J. Bergh and J. Löfström *Interpolation Spaces, An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg NewYork, 1976.

[4] Birman, Solomyak *Estimates for the singular numbers of integral operators (Russian)*, Usbehi Mat. Nauk. **32**, (1977), 17–84.

[5] A. Boulkhemair $L^2$ *estimates for pseudodifferential operators*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci **22** (4) (1995), 155–183.

[6] ______ *Remarks on a Wiener type pseudodifferential algebra and Fourier integral operators*, Math. Res. L. **4** (1997), 53–67.

[7] ______ $L^2$ *estimates for Weyl quantization*, J. Funct. Anal. **165** (1999), 173–204.

[8] F. Concetti, J. Toft *Trace ideals for Fourier integral operators with non-smooth symbols* , in: L. Rodino, B. W. Schulze,and M. W. Wong (Eds), Pseudo-Differential Operators: Partial Differential Equations and Time-Frequency Analysis, Fields Inst. Comm. **52** (2007), pp. 255–264.

[9] ______ *Schatten-von Neumann properties for Fourier integral operators with non-smooth symbols, I*, Ark. Mat. (to appear).

[10] M. Dimassi, J. Sjöstrand *Spectral Asymptotics in the Semi-Classical Limit*, vol 268, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, 1999.

[11] H. G. Feichtinger *Un espace de Banach de distributions tempérés sur les groupes localement compacts abéliens (French)*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sêr. A-B 290 **17** (1980), A791–A794.

[12] H. G. Feichtinger *Banach spaces of distributions of Wiener's type and interpolation,* in: P. Butzer, B. Sz. Nagy and E. Görlich (Eds), Proc. Conf. Oberwolfach, Functional Analysis and Approximation, August 1980, Int. Ser. Num. Math. **69** Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Stuttgart, 1981, pp. 153–165.

[13] ______ *Banach convolution algebras of Wiener's type,* in: Proc. Functions, Series, Operators in Budapest, Colloquia Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, North Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam Oxford NewYork, 1980.

[14] ______ *Modulation spaces on locally compact abelian groups. Technical report*, University of Vienna, Vienna, 1983; also in: M. Krishna, R. Radha, S. Thangavelu (Eds) Wavelets and their applications, Allied Publishers Private Limited, NewDehli Mumbai Kolkata Chennai Hagpur Ahmedabad Bangalore Hyderbad Lucknow, 2003, pp.99–140.

[15] ______ *Atomic characterizations of modulation spaces through Gabor-type representations,* in: Proc. Conf. on Constructive Function Theory, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **19** (1989), 113–126.

[16] H. G. Feichtinger and K. H. Gröchenig *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, I*, J. Funct. Anal. **86** (1989), 307–340.

[17] ______ *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, II*, Monatsh. Math. **108** (1989), 129–148.

[18] ______ *Gabor frames and time-frequency analysis of distributions*, J. Functional Anal. (2) **146** (1997), 464–495.

[19] H. G. Feichtinger, W. Kozek *Operator Quantization on LCA Groups* in: H. G. Feichtinger and Thomas Stromer (Eds) Gabor Analysis and Algorithms, Theory and Applications Birkhäuser, Boston Basel Berlin, 1998.

[20] G. B. Folland *Harmonic analysis in phase space*, Princeton U. P., Princeton, 1989.

[21] ______ *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.

[22] K. H. Gröchenig and C. Heil *Modulation spaces and pseudo-differential operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory (4) **34** (1999), 439–457.

[23] L. Hörmander *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators*, vol i–iv, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg NewYork Tokyo, 1983, 1985.

[24] H. König, *Eigenvalue Distribution of Compact Operators*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1986.

[25] J. L. Lions, J. Peetre *Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **19** (1964), 5–68.

[26] S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov *Wilson Bases and Ultramodulation Spaces*, Math. Nachr. **242** (2002), 179–196.

[27] M. Reed, B. Simon *Methods of modern mathematical physics*, Academic Press, London New York, 1979.

[28] M. Ruzhansky, M. Sugimoto *Global $L^2$ estimates for a class of Fourier integral operators with symbols in Besov spaces*, Russian Math. Surv. **58** (2003), 1044–1046.

[29] M. Ruzhansky, M. Sugimoto *Global $L^2$ boundedness theorems for a class of Fourier integral operators*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. **31** (2006), 547–569.

[30] M. Ruzhansky, M. Sugimoto *A smoothing property of Schrödinger equations in the critical case*, Math. Ann. **335** (2006), 645–673.

[31] B. Simon *Trace ideals and their applications* I, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge London New York Melbourne, 1979.

[32] J. Sjöstrand *An algebra of pseudodifferential operators*, Math. Res. L. **1** (1994), 185–192.

[33] ______ *Wiener type algebras of pseudodifferential operators*, Séminaire Equations aux Dérivées Partielles, Ecole Polytechnique, 1994/1995, Exposé n° IV.

[34] M. Sugimoto, N. Tomita*The dilation property of modulation spaces and their inclusion relation with Besov spaces*,Preprint, (2006).

[35] K. Tachizawa *The boundedness of pseudo-differential operators on modulation spaces*, Math. Nachr. **168** (1994), 263–277.

[36] N. Teofanov *Ultramodulation spaces and pseudodifferential operators*, Endowment Andrejević, Beograd, 2003.

[37] J. Toft *Continuity and Positivity Problems in Pseudo-Differential Calculus, Thesis*, Department of Mathematics, University of Lund, Lund, 1996.

[38] ______ *Subalgebras to a Wiener type Algebra of Pseudo-Differential operators*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (5) **51** (2001), 1347–1383.

[39] ______ *Continuity properties for modulation spaces with applications to pseudo-differential calculus, I*, J. Funct. Anal. (2), **207** (2004), 399–429.

[40] ______ *Continuity properties for modulation spaces with applications to pseudo-differential calculus, II*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., **26** (2004), 73–106.

[41] ______ *Convolution and embeddings for weighted modulation spaces* in: R. Ashino, P. Boggiatto, M. W. Wong (Eds) Advances in Pseudo-Differential Operators, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **155**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2004, pp. 165–186.

[42] ______ *Continuity and Schatten properties for pseudo-differential operators on modulation spaces* in: J. Toft, M. W. Wong, H. Zhu (Eds) Modern Trends in Pseudo-Differential Operators, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **172**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007, pp. 173–206.

[43] M. W. Wong *Weyl transforms*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.

Department of Mathematics and Systems Engineering, Växjö University, Sweden
*E-mail address*: `joachim.toft@vxu.se`

Department of Mathematics, Turin University, Italy
*E-mail address*: `francesco.concetti@unito.it`

Department of Mathematics, Turin University, Italy
*E-mail address*: `gianluca.garello@unito.it`